## Pre-lecture brain teaser

Merge Sort splits into 2 (roughly) equal sized arrays. Can we do better by splitting into more than 2 arrays? Say $k$ arrays of size $n / k$ each?
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## Pre-lecture brain teaser

Merge Sort splits into 2 (roughly) equal sized arrays. Can we do better by splitting into more than 2 arrays? Say $k$ arrays of size $n / k$ each?

What does the recurrence for more general $k$ look like?

$$
T(n)=k T\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)+c n
$$

What is the solution to this recurrence?

$$
T(n)=k T\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)+c n=O(n \log n)
$$

So why don't we use smaller lists?

## Quick Sort

## Quick Sort

## Quick Sort [Hoare]

1. Pick a pivot element from array
2. Split array into 3 subarrays: those smaller than pivot, those larger than pivot, and the pivot itself.
3. Recursively sort the subarrays, and concatenate them.

## Quick Sort

## Quick Sort [Hoare]

1. Pick a pivot element from array
2. Split array into 3 subarrays: those smaller than pivot, those larger than pivot, and the pivot itself.
3. Recursively sort the subarrays, and concatenate them.

## Quick Sort

## Quick Sort [Hoare]

1. Pick a pivot element from array
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## Quick Sort

## Quick Sort [Hoare]

1. Pick a pivot element from array
2. Split array into 3 subarrays: those smaller than pivot, those larger than pivot, and the pivot itself. Linear scan of array does it. Time is $O(n)$
3. Recursively sort the subarrays, and concatenate them.

## Quick Sort: Example

- array: $16,12,14,20,5,3,18,19,1$
- pivot: 16

See visualizer:
https://www.hackerearth.com/practice/algorithms/sorting/quicksort/visualize/

- Let $k$ be the rank of the chosen pivot. Then,

$$
T(n)=T(k-1)+T(n-k)+O(n)
$$

## Time Analysis

- Let $k$ be the rank of the chosen pivot. Then,

$$
T(n)=T(k-1)+T(n-k)+O(n)
$$

- If $k=\lceil n / 2\rceil$ then

$$
T(n)=T(\lceil n / 2\rceil-1)+T(\lfloor n / 2\rfloor)+O(n) \leq 2 T(n / 2)+O(n)
$$

Then, $T(n)=O(n \log n)$.

## Time Analysis

- Let $k$ be the rank of the chosen pivot. Then,

$$
T(n)=T(k-1)+T(n-k)+O(n)
$$

- If $k=\lceil n / 2\rceil$ then

$$
T(n)=T(\lceil n / 2\rceil-1)+T(\lfloor n / 2\rfloor)+O(n) \leq 2 T(n / 2)+O(n)
$$

Then, $T(n)=O(n \log n)$.

## Time Analysis

- Let $k$ be the rank of the chosen pivot. Then,

$$
T(n)=T(k-1)+T(n-k)+O(n)
$$

- If $k=\lceil n / 2\rceil$ then

$$
T(n)=T(\lceil n / 2\rceil-1)+T(\lfloor n / 2\rfloor)+O(n) \leq 2 T(n / 2)+O(n) .
$$

Then, $T(n)=O(n \log n)$.

- Typically, pivot is the first or last element of array. Then,

$$
T(n)=\max _{1 \leq k \leq n}(T(k-1)+T(n-k)+O(n))
$$

In the worst case $T(n)=T(n-1)+O(n)$, which means $T(n)=O\left(n^{2}\right)$. Happens if array is already sorted and pivot is always first element.

## Selecting in Unsorted Lists

## The Selection Problem

Big problem with QuickSort is that the pivot might not be the median.

How long would it take us to find the median of an unsorted list?

## The Selection Problem

Big problem with QuickSort is that the pivot might not be the median.

How long would it take us to find the median of an unsorted list?
Sort, then $A[n / 2]$. Is this the optimal way?

## Rank of element in an array

$A$ : an unsorted array of $n$ integers
For $1 \leq j \leq n$, element of rank $j$ is the $j$-th smallest element in $A$.

Unsorted array | 16 | 14 | 34 | 20 | 12 | 5 | 3 | 19 | 11 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Ranks

| 6 | 5 | 9 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Sort of array | 3 | 5 | 11 | 12 | 14 | 16 | 19 | 20 | 34 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Problem - Selection

Input Unsorted array $A$ of $n$ integers and integer $j$
Goal Find the $j$-th smallest number in $A$ (rank $j$ number)

Median: $j=\lfloor(n+1) / 2\rfloor$

## Problem - Selection

Input Unsorted array $A$ of $n$ integers and integer $j$
Goal Find the $j$-th smallest number in $A$ (rank $j$ number)

Median: $j=\lfloor(n+1) / 2\rfloor$

Simplifying assumption for sake of notation: elements of $A$ are distinct

## Algorithm I

- Sort the elements in $A$
- Pick $j$ th element in sorted order

Time taken $=O(n \log n)$

## Algorithm I

- Sort the elements in $A$
- Pick $j$ th element in sorted order

Time taken $=O(n \log n)$
Do we need to sort? Is there an $O(n)$ time algorithm?

## Algorithm II

If $j$ is small or $n-j$ is small then

- Find $j$ smallest/largest elements in $A$ in $O(j n)$ time. (How?)
- Time to find median is $O\left(n^{2}\right)$.


## Quick select

## QuickSelect

- Pick a pivot element a from $A$
- Partition $A$ based on a.
$A_{\text {less }}=\{x \in A \mid x \leq a\}$ and $A_{\text {greater }}=\{x \in A \mid x>a\}$
- $\left|A_{\text {less }}\right|=j:$ return a
- $\left|A_{\text {less }}\right|>j$ : recursively find $j$ th smallest element in $A_{\text {less }}$
- $\left|A_{\text {less }}\right|<j$ : recursively find $k$ th smallest element in $A_{\text {greater }}$ where $k=j-\left|A_{\text {less }}\right|$.


## Example

| 16 | 14 | 34 | 20 | 12 | 5 | 3 | 19 | 11 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Time Analysis

- Partitioning step: $O(n)$ time to scan $A$
- How do we choose pivot? Recursive running time?
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## Time Analysis

- Partitioning step: $O(n)$ time to scan $A$
- How do we choose pivot? Recursive running time?

Suppose we always choose pivot to be $A[1]$.

Say $A$ is sorted in increasing order and $j=n$.
How long does this new algorithm take?

## Does this help with QuickSort?

Should we combine this with QuickSort

## Does this help with QuickSort?

Should we combine this with QuickSort
Of course not! It takes $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ which is already the worse case of QuickSort. Need another method....
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## Does this help with QuickSort?

Looking at the quicksort recurrence again:

$$
T(n)=T(k-1)+T(n-k)+O(n)
$$

Does $k$ need to be $n / 2$ ?
What if $k=\frac{3}{5} n$ ?
What if $k=\frac{7}{10} n$ ?
we only need to be able to find a rough median! .... How do we do that?

## Median of Medians

## Divide and Conquer Approach

## Idea

- Break input $A$ into many subarrays: $L_{1}, \ldots L_{k}$.
- Find median $m_{i}$ in each subarray $L_{i}$.
- Find the median $x$ of the medians $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}$.
- Intuition: The median $x$ should be close to being a good median of all the numbers in $A$.
- Use $x$ as pivot in previous algorithm.


## Example

| 11 | 7 | 3 | 42 | 174 | 310 | 1 | 92 | 87 | 12 | 19 | 15 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Example

| 11 | 7 | 3 | 42 | 174 | 310 | 1 | 92 | 87 | 12 | 19 | 15 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



## Choosing the pivot

- Partition array $A$ into $\lceil n / 5\rceil$ lists of 5 items each.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{1}=\{A[1], A[2], \ldots, A[5]\}, L_{2}=\{A[6], \ldots, A[10]\}, \ldots, \\
& L_{i}=\{A[5 i+1], \ldots, A[5 i-4]\}, \ldots, \\
& L_{\lceil n / 5\rceil}=\{A[5\lceil n / 5\rceil-4, \ldots, A[n]\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

- For each $i$ find median $b_{i}$ of $L_{i}$ using brute-force in $O(1)$ time. Total $O(n)$ time
- Let $B=\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{\lceil n / 5\rceil}\right\}$
- Find median $b$ of $B$
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\begin{aligned}
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- For each $i$ find median $b_{i}$ of $L_{i}$ using brute-force in $O(1)$ time. Total $O(n)$ time
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Median of $B$ is an approximate median of $A$. That is, if $b$ is used a pivot to partition $A$, then $\left|A_{\text {less }}\right| \leq 7 n / 10$ and $\left|A_{\text {greater }}\right| \leq 7 n / 10$.

## Algorithm for Selection

```
select \((A, j)\) :
    Form lists \(L_{1}, L_{2}, \ldots, L_{\lceil n / 5\rceil}\) where \(L_{i}=\{A[5 i-4], \ldots, A[5 i]\}\)
    Find median \(b_{i}\) of each \(L_{i}\) using brute-force
    Find median \(b\) of \(B=\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{[n / 5]}\right\}\)
    Partition \(A\) into \(A_{\text {less }}\) and \(A_{\text {greater }}\) using \(b\) as pivot
    if \(\left(\left|A_{\text {less }}\right|\right)=j\) return \(b\)
    else if \(\left.\left(\left|A_{\text {less }}\right|\right)>j\right)\)
        return select \(\left(A_{\text {less }}, j\right)\)
    else
    return select \(\left(A_{\text {greater }}, j-\left|A_{\text {less }}\right|\right)\)
```
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    Find median \(b_{i}\) of each \(L_{i}\) using brute-force
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        return select \(\left(A_{\text {less }}, j\right)\)
    else
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## Algorithm for Selection

```
select \((A, j)\) :
    Form lists \(L_{1}, L_{2}, \ldots, L_{\lceil n / 5\rceil}\) where \(L_{i}=\{A[5 i-4], \ldots, A[5 i]\}\)
    Find median \(b_{i}\) of each \(L_{i}\) using brute-force
    Find median \(b\) of \(B=\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{[n / 5]}\right\}\)
    Partition \(A\) into \(A_{\text {less }}\) and \(A_{\text {greater }}\) using \(b\) as pivot
    if \(\left(\left|A_{\text {less }}\right|\right)=j\) return \(b\)
    else if \(\left.\left(\left|A_{\text {less }}\right|\right)>j\right)\)
        return select \(\left(A_{\text {less }}, j\right)\)
    else
        return select \(\left(A_{\text {greater }}, j-\left|A_{\text {less }}\right|\right)\)
```

How do we find median of $B$ ? Recursively!

## Median of medians is a good median

## Median of Medians: Proof of Lemma

There are at least $3 n / 10$ elements smaller than the median of medians $b$.


## Median of Medians: Proof of Lemma

There are at least $3 n / 10$ elements smaller than the median of medians $b$.

At least half of the $\lfloor n / 5\rfloor$ groups have at least 3 elements smaller than $b$, except for the group containing $b$ which has 2 elements smaller than $b$. Hence number of elements smaller than $b$ is:

$$
3\left\lfloor\frac{\lfloor n / 5\rfloor+1}{2}\right\rfloor-1 \geq 3 n / 10
$$

## Median of Medians: Proof of Lemma

There are at least $3 n / 10$ elements smaller than the median of medians $b$.
$\left|A_{\text {greater }}\right| \leq 7 n / 10$.
Via symmetric argument,
$\left|A_{\text {less }}\right| \leq 7 n / 10$.

Running time of deterministic median selection

## Running time of deterministic median selection

$$
T(n) \leq T(\lceil n / 5\rceil)+\max \left\{T\left(\left|A_{\text {less }}\right|\right), T\left(\mid A_{\text {greater }}\right) \mid\right\}+O(n)
$$

## Running time of deterministic median selection

$$
T(n) \leq T([n / 5\rceil)+\max \left\{T\left(\left|A_{\text {less }}\right|\right), T\left(\mid A_{\text {greater }}\right) \mid\right\}+O(n)
$$

From Lemma,

$$
T(n) \leq T(\lceil n / 5\rceil)+T(\lfloor 7 n / 10\rfloor)+O(n)
$$

and

$$
T(n)=O(1) \quad n<10
$$

## Running time of deterministic median selection

$$
T(n) \leq T([n / 5\rceil)+\max \left\{T\left(\left|A_{\text {less }}\right|\right), T\left(\mid A_{\text {greater }}\right) \mid\right\}+O(n)
$$

From Lemma,

$$
T(n) \leq T(\lceil n / 5\rceil)+T(\lfloor 7 n / 10\rfloor)+O(n)
$$

and

$$
T(n)=O(1) \quad n<10
$$

Exercise: show that $T(n)=O(n)$

## Recursion tree fill-in

If the workload is decreasing at every level, then total work is dominated by the root.


## What about QuickSort?

How would we use the median of medians approach for quicksort?

## What about QuickSort?

How would we use the median of medians approach for quicksort? Just use MoM if find pivot!

- Original recurrence: $T(n)=T(k-1)+T(n-k)+O(n)$
- With MoM: $T(n)=T\left(\frac{3}{10} n\right)+T\left(\frac{7}{10} n\right)+O(n)+O(n)$


## Median of Medians Algorithm

Due to:M. Blum, R. Floyd, D. Knuth, V. Pratt, R. Rivest, and R.
Tarjan.
"Time bounds for selection".
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## Median of Medians Algorithm

Due to:M. Blum, R. Floyd, D. Knuth, V. Pratt, R. Rivest, and R.
Tarjan.
"Time bounds for selection". Journal of Computer System Sciences (JCSS), 1973.

How many Turing Award winners in the author list?
All except Vaughan Pratt!

## Median of Medians Algorithm

Due to:M. Blum, R. Floyd, D. Knuth, V. Pratt, R. Rivest, and R.
Tarjan.
"Time bounds for selection". Journal of Computer System Sciences (JCSS), 1973.

How many Turing Award winners in the author list?
All except Vaughan Pratt! Favorite Knuth quote: He once warned a correspondent, "Beware of bugs in the above code; I have only proved it correct, not tried it."

## Takeaway Points

- Recursion tree method and guess and verify are the most reliable methods to analyze recursions in algorithms.
- Recursive algorithms naturally lead to recurrences.
- Some times one can look for certain type of recursive algorithms (reverse engineering) by understanding recurrences and their behavior.

Problem statement: Multiplying numbers + a slow algorithm

## The Problem: Multiplying numbers

Given two large positive integer numbers $b$ and $c$, with $n$ digits, compute the number $b * c$.

## Egyptian multiplication: 1850BC (3870 years ago?)

## 76 35

## Egyptian multiplication: 1850BC (3870 years ago?)



## Egyptian multiplication: 1850BC (3870 years ago?)

| 76 | 35 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 76 | $34+1$ | 76 |
| 76 | 34 |  |

## Egyptian multiplication: 1850BC (3870 years ago?)

| 76 | 35 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 76 | $34+1$ | 76 |
| 76 | 34 |  |
| 152 | 17 |  |

## Egyptian multiplication: 1850BC (3870 years ago?)

| 76 | 35 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 76 | $34+1$ | 76 |
| 76 | 34 |  |
| 152 | 17 |  |
| 152 | $16+1$ | 152 |

## Egyptian multiplication: 1850BC (3870 years ago?)

| 76 | 35 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 76 | $34+1$ | 76 |
| 76 | 34 |  |
| 152 | 17 |  |
| 152 | $16+1$ | 152 |
| 152 | 16 |  |

## Egyptian multiplication: 1850BC (3870 years ago?)

| 76 | 35 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 76 | $34+1$ | 76 |
| 76 | 34 |  |
| 152 | 17 |  |
| 152 | $16+1$ | 152 |
| 152 | 16 |  |
| 304 | 8 |  |

## Egyptian multiplication: 1850BC (3870 years ago?)

| 76 | 35 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 76 | $34+1$ | 76 |
| 76 | 34 |  |
| 152 | 17 |  |
| 152 | $16+1$ | 152 |
| 152 | 16 |  |
| 304 | 8 |  |
| 608 | 4 |  |

## Egyptian multiplication: 1850BC (3870 years ago?)

| 76 | 35 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 76 | $34+1$ | 76 |
| 76 | 34 |  |
| 152 | 17 |  |
| 152 | $16+1$ | 152 |
| 152 | 16 |  |
| 304 | 8 |  |
| 608 | 4 |  |
| 1216 | 2 |  |

## Egyptian multiplication: 1850BC (3870 years ago?)

| 76 | 35 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 76 | $34+1$ | 76 |
| 76 | 34 |  |
| 152 | 17 |  |
| 152 | $16+1$ | 152 |
| 152 | 16 |  |
| 304 | 8 |  |
| 608 | 4 |  |
| 1216 | 2 |  |
| 2432 | 1 | 2432 |

## Egyptian multiplication: 1850BC (3870 years ago?)

| 76 | 35 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 76 | $34+1$ | 76 |
| 76 | 34 |  |
| 152 | 17 |  |
| 152 | $16+1$ | 152 |
| 152 | 16 |  |
| 304 | 8 |  |
| 608 | 4 |  |
| 1216 | 2 |  |
| 2432 | 1 | 2432 |
|  |  | 2660 |

## The problem: Multiplying Numbers

## Problem Given two $n$-digit numbers $x$ and $y$, compute their product.

## Grade School Multiplication

Compute "partial product" by multiplying each digit of $y$ with $x$ and adding the partial products.

| 3141 |
| ---: |
| $\times 2718$ |
| 25128 |
| 3141 |
| 21987 |
| 6282 |
| 8537238 |

## Time Analysis of Grade School Multiplication

- Each partial product: $\Theta(n)$
- Number of partial products: $\Theta(n)$
- Addition of partial products: $\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)$
- Total time: $\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)$

Multiplication using Divide and
Conquer

## Divide and Conquer

Assume $n$ is a power of 2 for simplicity and numbers are in decimal.
Split each number into two numbers with equal number of digits

- $b=b_{n-1} b_{n-2} \ldots b_{0}$ and $c=c_{n-1} c_{n-2} \ldots c_{0}$
- $b=b_{n-1} \ldots b_{n / 2} 0 \ldots 0+b_{n / 2-1} \ldots b_{0}$
- $b(x)=b_{L} x+b_{R}$, where $x=10^{n / 2}, b_{L}=b_{n-1} \ldots b_{n / 2}$ and $b_{R}=b_{n / 2-1} \ldots b_{0}$
- Similarly $c(x)=c_{L} x+c_{R}$ where $c_{L}=c_{n-1} \ldots c_{n / 2}$ and

$$
c_{R}=c_{n / 2-1} \ldots c_{0}
$$

## Example

$1234 \times 5678=(12 x+34) \times(56 x+78)$ for $\quad x=1$

$$
=12 \cdot 56 \cdot x^{2}+(12 \cdot 78+34 \cdot 56) x+34 \cdot 78
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
1234 \times 5678= & (100 \times 12+34) \times(100 \times 56+78) \\
= & 10000 \times 12 \times 56 \\
& +100 \times(12 \times 78+34 \times 56) \\
& +34 \times 78
\end{aligned}
$$

## Divide and Conquer for multiplication

Assume $n$ is a power of 2 for simplicity and numbers are in decimal.

- $b=b_{n-1} b_{n-2} \ldots b_{0}$ and $c=c_{n-1} c_{n-2} \ldots c_{0}$
- $b \equiv b(x)=b_{L} x+b_{R}$ where $x=10^{n / 2}, b_{L}=b_{n-1} \ldots b_{n / 2}$ and $b_{R}=b_{n / 2-1} \ldots b_{0}$
- $c \equiv c(x)=c_{L} x+c_{R}$ where $c_{L}=c_{n-1} \ldots c_{n / 2}$ and

$$
c_{R}=c_{n / 2-1} \ldots c_{0}
$$

## Divide and Conquer for multiplication

Assume $n$ is a power of 2 for simplicity and numbers are in decimal.

- $b=b_{n-1} b_{n-2} \ldots b_{0}$ and $c=c_{n-1} c_{n-2} \ldots c_{0}$
- $b \equiv b(x)=b_{L} x+b_{R}$ where $x=10^{n / 2}, b_{L}=b_{n-1} \ldots b_{n / 2}$ and $b_{R}=b_{n / 2-1} \ldots b_{0}$
- $c \equiv c(x)=c_{L} x+c_{R}$ where $c_{L}=c_{n-1} \ldots c_{n / 2}$ and $c_{R}=c_{n / 2-1} \ldots c_{0}$
Therefore, for $x=10^{n / 2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
b c & =b(x) c(x)=\left(b_{L} x+b_{R}\right)\left(c_{L} x+c_{R}\right) \\
& =b_{L} c_{L} x^{2}+\left(b_{L} c_{R}+b_{R} c_{L}\right) x+b_{R} c_{R} \\
& =10^{n} b_{L} c_{L}+10^{n / 2}\left(b_{L} c_{R}+b_{R} c_{L}\right)+b_{R} c_{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Time Analysis

$$
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$$
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$$

## Time Analysis

$$
b c=10^{n} b_{L} c_{L}+10^{n / 2}\left(b_{L} c_{R}+b_{R} c_{L}\right)+b_{R} c_{R}
$$

4 recursive multiplications of number of size $n / 2$ each plus 4 additions and left shifts (adding enough 0's to the right)

$$
T(n)=4 T(n / 2)+O(n) \quad T(1)=O(1)
$$

$T(n)=\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)$. No better than grade school multiplication!

Faster multiplication: Karatsuba's Algorithm

## A Trick of Gauss

## Carl Friedrich Gauss: 1777-1855 "Prince of Mathematicians"

Observation: Multiply two complex numbers: $(a+b i)$ and $(c+d i)$

$$
(a+b i)(c+d i)=a c-b d+(a d+b c) i
$$
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## A Trick of Gauss

Carl Friedrich Gauss: 1777-1855 "Prince of Mathematicians"

Observation: Multiply two complex numbers: $(a+b i)$ and $(c+d i)$

$$
(a+b i)(c+d i)=a c-b d+(a d+b c) i
$$

How many multiplications do we need?

Only 3! If we do extra additions and subtractions.
Compute $a c, b d,(a+b)(c+d)$. Then

## Gauss technique for polynomials

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p(x)=a x+b \quad \text { and } \quad q(x)=c x+d . \\
& p(x) q(x)=a c x^{2}+(a d+b c) x+b d .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Gauss technique for polynomials

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p(x)=a x+b \quad \text { and } \quad q(x)=c x+d . \\
& p(x) q(x)=a c x^{2}+(a d+b c) x+b d . \\
& p(x) q(x)=a c x^{2}+((a+b)(c+d)-a c-b d) x+b d .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Improving the Running Time

$$
b c=b(x) c(x)=\left(b_{L} x+b_{R}\right)\left(c_{L} x+c_{R}\right)
$$
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## Improving the Running Time

$$
\begin{aligned}
b c= & b(x) c(x)=\left(b_{L} x+b_{R}\right)\left(c_{L} x+c_{R}\right) \\
= & \\
= & \\
& =\left(b_{L} c_{L} x^{2}+\left(b_{L} c_{R}\right) x^{2}+b_{R} c_{L}\right) x+b_{R} c_{R} \\
& \left.\left.\quad+b_{R}+b_{R}\right) *\left(c_{L}+c_{R}\right)-b_{L} * c_{L}-b_{R} * c_{R}\right) x
\end{aligned}
$$

Recursively compute only $b_{L} c_{L}, b_{R} c_{R},\left(b_{L}+b_{R}\right)\left(c_{L}+c_{R}\right)$.
Time Analysis
Running time is given by

$$
T(n)=3 T(n / 2)+O(n) \quad T(1)=O(1)
$$

which means $T(n)=O\left(n^{\log _{2} 3}\right)=O\left(n^{1.585}\right)$

## State of the Art

Schönhage-Strassen 1971: $O(n \log n \log \log n)$ time using Fast-Fourier-Transform (FFT)

Martin Fürer 2007: $O\left(n \log n 2^{O\left(\log ^{*} n\right)}\right)$ time

Conjecture: There is an $O(n \log n)$ time algorithm.

