Write a (very simple) recursive algorithm that calcuates the Fibonnacci  $n^{th}$  number.

$$F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$$
 where  $F_0 = 0, F_1 = 1$ 

1

# ECE-374-B: Lecture 13 - Dynamic Programming I

Instructor: Nickvash Kani

March 02, 2023

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Write a (very simple) recursive algorithm that calcuates the Fibonnacci  $n^{th}$  number.

$$F_{n} = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2} \text{ where } F_{0} = 0, F_{1} = 1$$

$$F_{0} = 0 [1]$$

$$F_{n} = 0 [1]$$

### **Recursion and Memoization**

Fibonacci numbers defined by recurrence:

$$F(n) = F(n-1) + F(n-2)$$
 and  $F(0) = 0, F(1) = 1.$ 

These numbers have many interesting properties. A journal <u>The</u> Fibonacci Quarterly<sup>1</sup>!

Fibonacci numbers defined by recurrence:

$$F(n) = F(n-1) + F(n-2)$$
 and  $F(0) = 0, F(1) = 1.$ 

These numbers have many interesting properties. A journal <u>The</u> <u>Fibonacci Quarterly</u><sup>1</sup>!

• Binet's formula:  $F(n) = \frac{\varphi^n - (1-\varphi)^n}{\sqrt{5}} \approx \frac{1.618^n - (-0.618)^n}{\sqrt{5}} \approx \frac{1.618^n}{\sqrt{5}}$  $\varphi$  is the golden ratio  $(1 + \sqrt{5})/2 \simeq 1.618$ .

• 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} F(n+1)/F(n) = \varphi$$

Question: Given *n*, compute F(n).



#### **Recursive Algorithm for Fibonacci Numbers**



 $= O(2^{n})$ Fib = 0,1,1,2,3,5,8,13,21,34, ---.  $\mu = 0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,--.$  Question: Given *n*, compute F(n).



Running time? Let T(n) be the number of additions in Fib(n).

$$T(n) = T(n-1) + T(n-2) + 1$$
 and  $T(0) = T(1) = 0$ 

Question: Given n, compute F(n).



Running time? Let T(n) be the number of additions in Fib(n).

$$T(n) = T(n-1) + T(n-2) + 1 \text{ and } T(0) = T(1) = 0$$
  
$$T(n) = T(n-1) + T(n-1) + 1 = O(2^{n})$$
  
Roughly same as  $F(n)$ :  $T(n) = \Theta(\varphi^{n})$ .  $\angle O(2^{n})$ 

The number of additions is exponential in *n*. Can we do better?















#### An iterative algorithm for Fibonacci numbers



#### An iterative algorithm for Fibonacci numbers



What is the running time of the algorithm?

O(us ·OLis - O(u)

#### An iterative algorithm for Fibonacci numbers

```
Fiblter(n):
    if (n = 0) then
        return 0
    if (n = 1) then
        return 1
    F[0] = 0
    F[1] = 1
    for i = 2 to n do
        F[i] = F[i-1] + F[i-2]
    return F[n]
```

What is the running time of the algorithm? O(n) additions.

#### What is the difference?

- Recursive algorithm is computing the same numbers again and again.
- Iterative algorithm is storing computed values and building bottom up the final value.

#### What is the difference?

- Recursive algorithm is computing the same numbers again and again.
- Iterative algorithm is storing computed values and building bottom up the final value. Memoization.

(> when we store outputs of provious problem instances

#### What is the difference?

- Recursive algorithm is computing the same numbers again and again.
- Iterative algorithm is storing computed values and building bottom up the final value. Memoization.

Dynamic Programming: Finding a recursion that can be effectively/efficiently memorized.

Leads to polynomial time algorithm if number of sub-problems is polynomial in input size.

## Automatic/implicit memorization

```
Fib(n):
    if (n = 0)
        return 0
    if (n = 1)
        return 1
    if (Fib(n) was previously computed)
        return stored value of Fib(n)
    else
        return Fib(n - 1) + Fib(n - 2)
```

```
Fib(n):
    if (n = 0)
        return 0
    if (n = 1)
        return 1
    if (Fib(n) was previously computed)
        return stored value of Fib(n)
    else
        return Fib(n - 1) + Fib(n - 2)
```

How do we keep track of previously computed values?

```
Fib(n):
    if (n = 0)
        return 0
    if (n = 1)
        return 1
    if (Fib(n) was previously computed)
        return stored value of Fib(n)
    else
        return Fib(n - 1) + Fib(n - 2)
```

How do we keep track of previously computed values? Two methods: explicitly and implicitly (via data structure) Initialize a (dynamic) dictionary data structure D to empty

```
Fib(n):
    if (n = 0)
        return 0
    if (n = 1)
        return 1
    if (n is already in D)
        return value stored with n in D
        val \leftarrow Fib(n - 1) + Fib(n - 2)
        Store (n, val) in D
        return val
```

Use hash-table or a map to remember which values were already computed.

#### Explicit memorization (not automatic)

• Initialize table/array M of size n: M[i] = -1 for i = 0, ..., n.

#### **Explicit** memorization (not automatic)

- Initialize table/array M of size n: M[i] = -1 for i = 0, ..., n.
- Resulting code:

```
Fib(n):

if (n = 0)

return 0

if (n = 1)

return 1

if (M[n] \neq -1) // M[n]: stored value of Fib(n)

return M[n]

M[n] \Leftarrow Fib(n-1) + Fib(n-2)

return M[n]
```

#### **Explicit** memorization (not automatic)

- Initialize table/array M of size n: M[i] = -1 for i = 0, ..., n.
- Resulting code:

```
\begin{aligned} \textbf{Fib}(n): \\ \textbf{if} & (n = 0) \\ \textbf{return 0} \\ \textbf{if} & (n = 1) \\ \textbf{return 1} \\ \textbf{if} & (M[n] \neq -1) \ // \ M[n]: \text{ stored value of } \textbf{Fib}(n) \\ \textbf{return } M[n] \\ M[n] \Leftarrow \textbf{Fib}(n-1) + \textbf{Fib}(n-2) \\ \textbf{return } M[n] \end{aligned}
```

 Need to know upfront the number of sub-problems to allocate memory.

#### **Recursion tree for the memorized Fib...**



#### Recursion tree for the memorized Fib...



#### Recursion tree for the memorized Fib...


























### Automatic (Implicit) Memorization

Recursive version:

$$f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_d)$$
:  
CODE

Recursive version with memoization:

```
g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d):

if f already computed for (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) then

return value already computed

NEW_CODE
```

- NEW\_CODE:
  - Replaces any "return  $\alpha$ " with
  - Remember " $f(x_1, \ldots, x_d) = \alpha$ "; return  $\alpha$ .

- Explicit memoization (on the way to iterative algorithm) preferred:
  - analyze problem ahead of time

- Explicit memoization (on the way to iterative algorithm) preferred:
  - analyze problem ahead of time
  - Allows for efficient memory allocation and access.

- Explicit memoization (on the way to iterative algorithm) preferred:
  - analyze problem ahead of time
  - Allows for efficient memory allocation and access.
- Implicit (automatic) memoization:
  - problem structure or algorithm is not well understood.

- Explicit memoization (on the way to iterative algorithm) preferred:
  - analyze problem ahead of time
  - Allows for efficient memory allocation and access.
- Implicit (automatic) memoization:
  - problem structure or algorithm is not well understood.
  - Need to pay overhead of data-structure.

- Explicit memoization (on the way to iterative algorithm) preferred:
  - analyze problem ahead of time
  - Allows for efficient memory allocation and access.
- Implicit (automatic) memoization:
  - problem structure or algorithm is not well understood.
  - Need to pay overhead of data-structure.
  - Functional languages (e.g., LISP) automatically do memoization, usually via hashing based dictionaries.

# Explicit/implicit memorization for Fibonacci



Explicit memorization Implicit memorization

**Dynamic programming** 

### Removing the recursion by filling the table in the right order

```
Fib(n):

if (n = 0)

return 0

if (n = 1)

return 1

if (M[n] \neq -1)

return M[n]

M[n] \Leftarrow Fib(n - 1) + Fib(n - 2)

return M[n]
```

Fiblter(n): if (n = 0) then return 0 if (n = 1) then return 1 F[0] = 0 F[1] = 1for i = 2 to n do F[i] = F[i - 1] + F[i - 2]return F[n]

#### **Dynamic programming: Saving space!**

Saving space. Do we need an array of *n* numbers? Not really.

**Fiblter**(*n*): if (n = 0) then return 0 if (n = 1) then return 1 F[0] = 0F[1] = 1for i = 2 to n do F[i] = F[i-1] + F[i-2]**return** *F*[*n*] Recovence: F(n) = F(n+) + F(n-2) Nemoized the output

**Fiblter**(*n*): if (n = 0) then return 0 if (n = 1) then return 1 prev2 = 0prev1 = 1for i = 2 to n do temp = prev1 + prev2prev2 = prev1prev1 = tempreturn prev1

# Dynamic programming – quick review

Dynamic Programming is smart recursion

# **Dynamic programming – quick review**

#### Dynamic Programming is smart recursion

+ explicit memorization

# **Dynamic programming – quick review**

#### Dynamic Programming is smart recursion

- + explicit memorization
- + filling the table in right order
- + removing recursion.

Suppose we have a recursive program foo(x) that takes an input x.  $|\chi| \sim |\chi|$ 

- On input of size n the number of distinct sub-problems that foo(x) generates is at most A(n)
- foo(x) spends at most B(n) time not counting the time for its recursive calls.

F:6: 
$$A(w) = w$$
  
 $B(w) = O(v)$   
(1) addition

Suppose we have a recursive program foo(x) that takes an input x.

- On input of size n the number of distinct sub-problems that foo(x) generates is at most A(n)
- foo(x) spends at most B(n) time not counting the time for its recursive calls.

Suppose we memorize the recursion.

**Assumption:** Storing and retrieving solutions to pre-computed problems takes O(1) time.

Suppose we have a recursive program foo(x) that takes an input x.

- On input of size n the number of distinct sub-problems that foo(x) generates is at most A(n)
- foo(x) spends at most B(n) time not counting the time for its recursive calls.

Suppose we memorize the recursion.

**Assumption:** Storing and retrieving solutions to pre-computed problems takes O(1) time.

Q: What is an upper bound on the running time of memorized version of foo(x) if |x| = n?

Suppose we have a recursive program foo(x) that takes an input x.

- On input of size n the number of distinct sub-problems that foo(x) generates is at most A(n)
- foo(x) spends at most B(n) time not counting the time for its recursive calls.

Suppose we memorize the recursion.

**Assumption:** Storing and retrieving solutions to pre-computed problems takes O(1) time.

<u>Q</u>: What is an upper bound on the running time of memorized version of foo(x) if |x| = n? O(A(n)B(n)).

Fibonacci numbers are big – corrected running time analysis

T Is the iterative algorithm a polynomial time algorithm? Does it take O(n) time?

- input is *n* and hence input size is  $\Theta(\log n)$
- output is F(n) and output size is  $\Theta(n)$ . Why?
- Hence output size is exponential in input size so no polynomial time algorithm possible!
- Running time of iterative algorithm: Θ(n) additions but number sizes are O(n) bits long! Hence total time is O(n<sup>2</sup>), in fact Θ(n<sup>2</sup>). Why?

# Longest Increasing Sub-sequence Revisited

#### Definition

<u>Sequence</u>: an ordered list  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$ . <u>Length</u> of a sequence is number of elements in the list.

#### Definition

 $a_{i_1}, \ldots, a_{i_k}$  is a <u>sub-sequence</u> of  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$  if  $1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i_k \le n$ .

#### Definition

A sequence is increasing if  $a_1 < a_2 < \ldots < a_n$ . It is non-decreasing if  $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \ldots \leq a_n$ . Similarly decreasing and non-increasing.

#### **Sequences** - **Example...**

#### Example

- Sequence: 6, 3, 5, 2, 7, 8, 1
- Subsequence of above sequence: 5, 2, 1
- Increasing sequence: 3, 5, 9, 17, 54
- Decreasing sequence: 34, 21, 7, 5, 1
- Increasing subsequence of the first sequence: 2, 7, 8.
- *Longest* Increasing subsequence of the first sequence: 3, 5, 7, 8.

#### **Longest Increasing Subsequence Problem**

**Input** A sequence of numbers  $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}$ 

**Goal** Find an increasing subsequence  $a_{i_0}, a_{i_1}, \ldots, a_{i_k}$  of maximum length

#### **Longest Increasing Subsequence Problem**

**Input** A sequence of numbers  $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}$ 

**Goal** Find an increasing subsequence  $a_{i_0}, a_{i_1}, \ldots, a_{i_k}$  of maximum length

#### **Example**

- Sequence: 6, 3, 5, 2, 7, 8, 1
- Increasing subsequences: 6, 7, 8 and 3, 5, 7, 8 and 2, 7 etc
- Longest increasing subsequence: 3, 5, 7, 8
# Naive Recursion Enumeration - State Tree



- This is just for [6,3,5,2,7]! (Tikz won't print larger trees)
- How many leafs are there for the full [6,3,5,2,7, 8, 1] sequence
- What is the running time?

# Naive Recursion Enumeration - Code

Assume  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$  is contained in an array A

# Running time: $O(n2^n)$ .

 $2^n$  subsequences of a sequence of length n and O(n) time to check if a given sequence is increasing.

# Backtracking Approach: LIS: Longest increasing subsequence

Can we find a recursive algorithm for LIS?

LIS(A[0..n-1]):

Can we find a recursive algorithm for LIS?

LIS(A[0..n-1]):

- Case 1: Does not contain A[n-1] in which case LIS(A[0..n-1]) = LIS(A[0..(n-1)])
- Case 2: contains A[n 1] in which case LIS(A[0..n 1]) is not so clear.

#### **Observation**

For second case we want to find a subsequence in A[1..(n-2)]that is restricted to numbers less than A[n-1]. This suggests that a more general problem is **LIS\_smaller**(A[0..n-1], x) which gives the longest increasing subsequence in A where each number in the sequence is less than x. Example

Sequence: A[0..6] = 6, 3, 5, 2, 7, 8, 1



LIS(A[1..n]): the length of longest increasing subsequence in A

**LIS\_smaller**(A[1..n], x): length of longest increasing subsequence in A[1..n] with all numbers in subsequence less than x

> LIS\_smaller(A[1..i], x): if i = 0 then return 0  $m = LIS\_smaller(A[1..i - 1], x)$ if A[i] < x then  $m = max(m, 1 + LIS\_smaller(A[1..i - 1], A[i]))$ Output m

A[1...n-1] A[1...n-2] (n)

**LIS\_smaller**(A[1..i], x): if i = 0 then return 0  $m = \text{LIS\_smaller}(A[1..i-1], x)$ if A[i] < x then  $m = max(m, 1 + \text{LIS}\_\text{smaller}(A[1..i - 1], A[i]))$ Output m

> LIS(A[1..n]):return LIS\_smaller( $A[1..n], \infty$ )

 How many distinct sub-problems will **LIS\_smaller**( $A[1..n], \infty$ ) generate?

x must be one I the velues in A (n values)

LIS\_smaller(A[1..i], x): if i = 0 then return 0  $m = LIS\_smaller(A[1..i - 1], x)$ if A[i] < x then  $m = max(m, 1 + LIS\_smaller(A[1..i - 1], A[i]))$ Output m

> LIS(A[1..n]): return LIS\_smaller( $A[1..n], \infty$ )

• How many distinct sub-problems will LIS\_smaller( $A[1..n], \infty$ ) generate?  $O(n^2)$ 

LIS\_smaller(A[1..i], x): if i = 0 then return 0  $m = LIS\_smaller(A[1..i - 1], x)$ if A[i] < x then  $m = max(m, 1 + LIS\_smaller(A[1..i - 1], A[i]))$ Output m

> LIS(A[1..n]): return LIS\_smaller( $A[1..n], \infty$ )

- How many distinct sub-problems will LIS\_smaller( $A[1..n], \infty$ ) generate?  $O(n^2)$
- What is the running time if we memorize recursion?  $\int \left( \nu^2 \right)$

 $A(n) = O(n^2)$ B(n) = O(1)

LIS\_smaller(A[1..i], x): if i = 0 then return 0  $m = LIS\_smaller(A[1..i - 1], x)$ if A[i] < x then  $m = max(m, 1 + LIS\_smaller(A[1..i - 1], A[i]))$ Output m

- How many distinct sub-problems will LIS\_smaller( $A[1..n], \infty$ ) generate?  $O(n^2)$
- What is the running time if we memorize recursion? O(n<sup>2</sup>) since each call takes O(1) time to assemble the answers from to recursive calls and no other computation.

LIS\_smaller(A[1..i], x): if i = 0 then return 0  $m = LIS\_smaller(A[1..i - 1], x)$ if A[i] < x then  $m = max(m, 1 + LIS\_smaller(A[1..i - 1], A[i]))$ Output m

- How many distinct sub-problems will LIS\_smaller( $A[1..n], \infty$ ) generate?  $O(n^2)$
- What is the running time if we memorize recursion? O(n<sup>2</sup>) since each call takes O(1) time to assemble the answers from to recursive calls and no other computation.
- How much space for memorization?

LIS\_smaller(A[1..i], x): if i = 0 then return 0  $m = LIS\_smaller(A[1..i - 1], x)$ if A[i] < x then  $m = max(m, 1 + LIS\_smaller(A[1..i - 1], A[i]))$ Output m

- How many distinct sub-problems will LIS\_smaller( $A[1..n], \infty$ ) generate?  $O(n^2)$
- What is the running time if we memorize recursion? O(n<sup>2</sup>) since each call takes O(1) time to assemble the answers from to recursive calls and no other computation.
- How much space for memorization?  $O(n^2)$

After seeing that number of sub-problems is  $O(n^2)$  we name them to help us understand the structure better. For notational ease we add  $\infty$  at end of array (in position n + 1)  $\therefore \quad \chi = A(j)$ LIS(i,j): length of longest increasing sequence in A[1..i] among numbers less than A[j] (defined only for i < j) After seeing that number of sub-problems is  $O(n^2)$  we name them to help us understand the structure better. For notational ease we add  $\infty$  at end of array (in position n + 1)

LIS(i,j): length of longest increasing sequence in A[1..i] among numbers less than A[j] (defined only for i < j) ·f we don t juelade Aliz in LIS **Base case:** LIS(0, j) = 0 for  $1 \le j \le n + 1$ **Recursive relation:** • LIS(i,j) = LIS(i-1,j) if  $A[i] > A[j] \checkmark$ •  $LIS(i,j) = \max\{LIS(i-1,j), 1 + LIS(i-1,i)\}$  if A[i] < A[j]KL & me com 111 Include AG7 3a LIS **Output:** LIS(n, n + 1).



**Recursive relation:** 

LIS(i,j) =

i = 0Sequence: A[1...7] = [6,3,5,2,7,8,1]  $\begin{cases}
0 & i = 0 \\
LIS(i-1,j) & A[i] \ge A[j] \\
max \begin{cases}
LIS(i-1,j) & A[i] < A[j] \\
1+LIS(i-1,i) & A[i] < A[j]
\end{cases}$ 



**Recursive relation:** 

LIS(i,j) =i = 0Sequence: A[1...7] = [6, 3, 5, 2, 7, 8, 1]  $\begin{cases}
LIS(i - 1, j) & A[i] \ge A[j] \\
max & LIS(i - 1, j) \\
1 + LIS(i - 1, i) & A[i] < A[j]
\end{cases}$ 



**Recursive relation:** 

LIS(i,j) =Sequence:  $A[1...7] = [6,3,5,2,7,8,1] \begin{cases} 0 & i = 0 \\ LIS(i-1,j) & A[i] \ge A[j] \\ max \begin{cases} LIS(i-1,j) & A[i] < A[j] \\ 1+LIS(i-1,i) & A[i] < A[j] \end{cases}$ i = 0

		A[1] = 6	A[2] = 3	A[3]=5	A[4]=2	A[5]=7	A[6]=8	A[7]=1	inf	Represents limiter
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	j
[]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
[6]	1		0 €	0	0	1	1	0	1	
[6,3]	2			1	0	-1	1	0	1	
[6,3,5]	3									
[6,3,5,2]	4									
[6,3,5,2,7]	5									
[6,3,5,2,7,8]	6									
[6,3,5,2,7,8,1]	7									
Represents sub-array	i									_

**Recursive relation:** 

LIS(i,j) =

Sequence: A[1...7] = [6, 3, 5, 2, 7, 8, 1]

$$egin{aligned} 0 & i = 0 \ LIS(i-1,j) & A[i] \geq A[j] \ \max egin{cases} LIS(i-1,j) & A[i] < A[j] \ 1+LIS(i-1,i) & A[i] < A[j] \end{aligned}$$

		A[1] = 6	A[2] = 3	A[3]=5	A[4]=2	A[5]=7	A[6]=8	A[7]=1	inf	Represents limiter
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	j
[]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
[6]	1		0	0	0	1	1	0	1	
[6,3]	2			1 ~	0	1	1	0	1	
[6,3,5]	3				0	2	-2	0	2	
[6,3,5,2]	4									
[6,3,5,2,7]	5									
[6,3,5,2,7,8]	6									
[6,3,5,2,7,8,1]	7									
Represents sub-array	i									

**Recursive relation:** 

LIS(i,j) =

Sequence: A[1...7] = [6, 3, 5, 2, 7, 8, 1]

		A[1] = 6	A[2] = 3	A[3]=5	A[4]=2	A[5]=7	A[6]=8	A[7]=1	inf	Represents limiter
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	j
[]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-
[6]	1		0	0	0	1	1	0	1	
[6,3]	2			1	0	1	1	0	1	
[6,3,5]	3				0	2	2	0	2	
[6,3,5,2]	4					2	2	0	2	
[6,3,5,2,7]	5									
[6,3,5,2,7,8]	6									
[6,3,5,2,7,8,1]	7									
Represents sub-array	i									

**Recursive relation:** 

LIS(i,j) =

Sequence:  $A[1...7] = [6,3,5,2,7,8,1] \qquad \begin{cases} 0 & i = 0 \\ LIS(i-1,j) & A[i] \ge A[j] \\ max \begin{cases} LIS(i-1,j) & A[i] < A[j] \\ 1+LIS(i-1,i) & A[i] < A[j] \end{cases}$ 

		A[1] = 6	A[2] = 3	A[3]=5	A[4]=2	A[5]=7	A[6]=8	A[7]=1	inf	Represents limiter
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	j
[]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
[6]	1		0	0	0	1	1	0	1	
[6,3]	2			1	0	1	1	0	1	
[6,3,5]	3				0	2	2	0	2	
[6,3,5,2]	4					2	2	0	2	
[6,3,5,2,7]	5						3	0	3	
[6,3,5,2,7,8]	6									
[6,3,5,2,7,8,1]	7									
Represents sub-array	i									-

#### **Recursive relation:**

LIS(i,j) =

Sequence:  $A[1...7] = [6,3,5,2,7,8,1] \qquad \begin{cases} 0 & i = 0 \\ LIS(i-1,j) & A[i] \ge A[j] \\ max \begin{cases} LIS(i-1,j) & A[i] < A[j] \\ 1+LIS(i-1,i) & A[i] < A[j] \end{cases}$ 

	A[1] = 6	A[2] = 3	A[3]=5	A[4]=2	A[5]=7	A[6]=8	A[7]=1	inf	Represents limiter
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	j
[] 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
[6] 1		0	0	0	1	1	0	1	
[6,3] 2			1	0	1	1	0	1	
[6,3,5] 3				0	2	2	0	2	
[6,3,5,2] 4					2	2	0	2	
[6,3,5,2,7] 5						3	0	3	
[6,3,5,2,7,8] 6							0	4	
[6,3,5,2,7,8,1] 7									

Represents sub-array

#### **Recursive relation:**

LIS(i,j) =

Sequence: A[1...7] = [6, 3, 5, 2, 7, 8, 1]

$$egin{aligned} 0 & i = 0 \ LIS(i-1,j) & A[i] \geq A[j] \ \max egin{cases} LIS(i-1,j) & A[i] < A[j] \ 1+LIS(i-1,i) & A[i] < A[j] \end{aligned}$$

	A[1] = 6	A[2] = 3	A[3]=5	A[4]=2	A[5]=7	A[6]=8	A[7]=1	inf	Represents limiter
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	j
[] 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-
[6] 1		0	0	0	1	1	0	1	
[6,3] 2			1	0	1	1	0	1	
[6,3,5] 3				0	2	2	0	2	
[6,3,5,2] 4					2	2	0	2	
[6,3,5,2,7] 5						3	0	3	
[6,3,5,2,7,8] 6							0	4	
[6,3,5,2,7,8,1] 7								4	

Represents sub-array

#### **Recursive relation:**

LIS(i,j) =

Sequence: A[1...7] = [6, 3, 5, 2, 7, 8, 1]

$$egin{aligned} 0 & i = 0 \ LIS(i-1,j) & A[i] \geq A[j] \ \max egin{cases} LIS(i-1,j) & A[i] < A[j] \ 1+LIS(i-1,i) & A[i] < A[j] \end{aligned}$$

# Iterative algorithm

#### The dynamic program for longest increasing subsequence

```
LIS-Iterative(A[1..n]):
    A[n+1] = \infty
     int LIS[0..n-1, 0..n]
     for j = 0 \dots n if A[i] \leq A[j] then LIS[0][j] = 1
     for i = 1 ... n - 1 do
          for j = i ... n - 1 do
               if (A[i] \ge A[j])
                    LIS[i, j] = LIS[i - 1, j]
               else
                    LIS[i, j] = \max(LIS[i - 1, j], 1 + LIS[i - 1, i])
    Return LIS[n, n+1]
```

**Running time:**  $O(n^2)$ **Space:**  $O(n^2)$ 

# Iterative algorithm

#### The dynamic program for longest increasing subsequence

```
LIS-Iterative(A[1..n]):
     A[n+1] = \infty
     int LIS[0...n-1, 0...n]
     for j = 0 \dots n if A[i] \leq A[j] then LIS[0][j] = 1
     for i = 1 ... n - 1 do
          for j = i ... n - 1 do
               if (A[i] \ge A[j])
                    LIS[i, j] = LIS[i - 1, j]
               else
                    LIS[i, j] = \max(LIS[i - 1, j], 1 + LIS[i - 1, i])
    Return LIS[n, n+1]
```

**Running time:**  $O(n^2)$ **Space:**  $O(n^2)$  Can be done in linear space. How? **Question:** Can we compute an optimum solution and not just its value?

**Question:** Can we compute an optimum solution and not just its value?

Yes! See notes.

# Finding the sub-sequence

		A[1] = 6	A[2] = 3	A[3]=5	A[4]=2	A[5]=7	A[6]=8	A[7]=1	inf	Represents limiter
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	j
[]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
[6]	1		0	0	0	1	1	0	1	
[6,3]	2			1	0	1	1	0	1	
[6,3,5]	3				0	2	2	0	2	
[6,3,5,2]	4					2	2	0	2	
[6,3,5,2,7]	5						3	0	3	
[6,3,5,2,7,8]	6							0	4	
[6,3,5,2,7,8,1]	7								4	

Represents sub-array i

#### **Recursive relation:**

Sequence: A[1...7] = [6, 3, 5, 2, 7, 8, 1] LIS(i, j) =

LIS = [3, 5, 7, 8]

We know the LIS length (4)<br/>but how do we find the LIS<br/>itself?0i = 0 $A[i] \ge A[j]$ <br/>max $A[i] \ge A[j]$ <br/>1 + LIS(i - 1, i) $A[i] \ge A[j]$ 

33

# Finding the sub-sequence

		A[1] = 6	A[2] = 3	A[3]=5	A[4]=2	A[5]=7	A[6]=8	A[7]=1	inf	Represents limiter
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	j
[]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-
[6]	1		0	0	0	1	1	0	1	
[6,3]	2			1	0	1	1	0	1	
[6,3,5]	3				0	-2	2	0	2	
[6,3,5,2]	4					2	2	0	2	
[6,3,5,2,7]	5						-3←	0	3	
[6,3,5,2,7,8]	6							0	-4	
[6,3,5,2,7,8,1]	7								4	
										-

Represents sub-array

#### **Recursive relation:**

Sequence:

A[1...7] = [6, 3, 5, 2, 7, 8, 1] LIS(i, j) =

We know the LIS length (4) but how do we find the LIS itself?

 $\begin{cases} 0 & i = 0 \\ LIS(i-1,j) & A[i] \ge A[j] \\ max \begin{cases} LIS(i-1,j) & A[i] < A[j] \\ 1+LIS(i-1,i) & A[i] < A[j] \end{cases}$ i = 0

LIS = [3, 5, 7, 8]

**Question:** Can we compute an optimum solution and not just its value?

Yes!

**Question:** Is there a faster algorithm for LIS?

**Question:** Can we compute an optimum solution and not just its value?

Yes!

**Question:** Is there a faster algorithm for LIS? Yes! Using a different recursion and optimizing one can obtain an  $O(n \log n)$  time and O(n) space algorithm.  $O(n \log n)$  time is not obvious. Depends on improving time by using data structures on top of dynamic programming.

# How to come up with dynamic programming algorithm: summary

 Find a "smart" recursion for the problem in which the number of distinct sub-problems is small; polynomial in the original problem size.

- Find a "smart" recursion for the problem in which the number of distinct sub-problems is small; polynomial in the original problem size.
- Estimate the number of sub-problems, the time to evaluate each sub-problem and the space needed to store the value.

- Find a "smart" recursion for the problem in which the number of distinct sub-problems is small; polynomial in the original problem size.
- Estimate the number of sub-problems, the time to evaluate each sub-problem and the space needed to store the value.
- This gives an upper bound on the total running time if we use automatic/explicit memorization.

- Find a "smart" recursion for the problem in which the number of distinct sub-problems is small; polynomial in the original problem size.
- Estimate the number of sub-problems, the time to evaluate each sub-problem and the space needed to store the value.
- This gives an upper bound on the total running time if we use automatic/explicit memorization.
- Come up with an explicit memorization algorithm for the problem.
- Find a "smart" recursion for the problem in which the number of distinct sub-problems is small; polynomial in the original problem size.
- Estimate the number of sub-problems, the time to evaluate each sub-problem and the space needed to store the value.
- This gives an upper bound on the total running time if we use automatic/explicit memorization.
- Come up with an explicit memorization algorithm for the problem.
- Eliminate recursion and find an iterative algorithm.

- Find a "smart" recursion for the problem in which the number of distinct sub-problems is small; polynomial in the original problem size.
- Estimate the number of sub-problems, the time to evaluate each sub-problem and the space needed to store the value.
- This gives an upper bound on the total running time if we use automatic/explicit memorization.
- Come up with an explicit memorization algorithm for the problem.
- Eliminate recursion and find an iterative algorithm.
- ...need to find the right way or order the sub-problems evaluation.
  Th is leads to an a dynamic programming algorithm.

- Find a "smart" recursion for the problem in which the number of distinct sub-problems is small; polynomial in the original problem size.
- Estimate the number of sub-problems, the time to evaluate each sub-problem and the space needed to store the value.
- This gives an upper bound on the total running time if we use automatic/explicit memorization.
- Come up with an explicit memorization algorithm for the problem.
- Eliminate recursion and find an iterative algorithm.
- ...need to find the right way or order the sub-problems evaluation.
  Th is leads to an a dynamic programming algorithm.
- Optimize the resulting algorithm further

- Find a "smart" recursion for the problem in which the number of distinct sub-problems is small; polynomial in the original problem size.
- Estimate the number of sub-problems, the time to evaluate each sub-problem and the space needed to store the value.
- This gives an upper bound on the total running time if we use automatic/explicit memorization.
- Come up with an explicit memorization algorithm for the problem.
- Eliminate recursion and find an iterative algorithm.
- ...need to find the right way or order the sub-problems evaluation.
  Th is leads to an a dynamic programming algorithm.
- Optimize the resulting algorithm further

• ....

- Find a "smart" recursion for the problem in which the number of distinct sub-problems is small; polynomial in the original problem size.
- Estimate the number of sub-problems, the time to evaluate each sub-problem and the space needed to store the value.
- This gives an upper bound on the total running time if we use automatic/explicit memorization.
- Come up with an explicit memorization algorithm for the problem.
- Eliminate recursion and find an iterative algorithm.
- ...need to find the right way or order the sub-problems evaluation.
  Th is leads to an a dynamic programming algorithm.
- Optimize the resulting algorithm further
- ....
- Get rich!