## ECE $_{374}$ B $\diamond$ Spring 2024 $\checkmark$ Homework 3 $\checkmark$

• Submit your solutions electronically on the course Gradescope site as PDF files. please use the  $\mathbb{M}_{E}X$  solution template on the course web site. If you must submit scanned handwritten solutions, please use a black pen on blank white paper and a high-quality scanner app (or an actual scanner, not just a phone camera).

## 🕼 Some important course policies 🔊

- You may use any source at your disposal—paper, electronic, or human—but you *must* cite *every* source that you use, and you *must* write everything yourself in your own words. See the academic integrity policies on the course web site for more details.
- Avoid the Three Deadly Sins! Any homework or exam solution that breaks any of the following rules will be given an *automatic zero*, unless the solution is otherwise perfect. Yes, we really mean it. We're not trying to be scary or petty (Honest!), but we do want to break a few common bad habits that seriously impede mastery of the course material.
  - Always give complete solutions, not just examples.
  - Always declare all your variables, in English. In particular, always describe the specific problem your algorithm is supposed to solve.
  - Never use weak induction.

## See the course web site for more information.

If you have any questions about these policies, please don't hesitate to ask in class, in office hours, or on Piazza.

- I. For each of the following languages over the alphabet  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ , either prove that the language is regular (by constructing a DFA or regular expression) or prove that the language is not regular (using fooling sets). Recall that  $\Sigma^+$  denotes the set of all nonempty strings over  $\Sigma$ .
  - (a)  $L_{2a} = \{ 0^n 1^n w \mid w \in \Sigma^* \text{ and } n \ge 0 \}$
  - (b)  $L_{2b} = \{w \mathbf{0}^n w | w \in \Sigma^* \text{ and } n > 0\}$
  - (c)  $L_{2c} = \{xwwy|w, x, y \in \Sigma^+\}$
  - (d)  $L_{2d} = \{xwwx | w, x \in \Sigma^+\}$

- 2. Describe the context-free grammar that describes each of the following languages:
  - (a) All strings in  $\{0, 1\}^*$  whose length is divisible by 5.
  - (b)  $L_{3b} = \{ 0^i 1^j 2^{i+j} | i, j \ge 0 \}$
  - (c)  $L_{3c} = \{ \mathbf{0}^i \mathbf{1}^j \mathbf{2}^k | i = j \text{ or } j = k \}$
  - (d)  $L_{3d} = \{w \in \{0,1\}^* | \#(01,w) = \#(10,w)\}$  (function #(x,w) returns the number of occurrences of a substring x in a string w)

3. An all-NFA *M* is a 5-tuple  $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  that accepts  $x \in \Sigma^*$  if **every** possible state that M could be in after reading input *x* is a state from *F*. Note, this is in contrast to an ordinary NFA that accepts a string if some state among these possible states is a an accept state. Prove that all-NFAs recognize the class of regular languages.

4. Prove this language is not regular by providing a fooling set. Be sure to include the fooling set you construct is i) infinite and ii) a valid fooling set.

$$L_{P5} = \{w | w \text{ such that } | w | = \lceil k \sqrt{k} \rceil$$
, for some natural numberk $\}$ 

Hint: since this one is more difficult, we'll even give you a fooling set that works: try  $F = \{0^{m^6} | m \ge 1\}$ . We'll also provide a bound that can help: the difference between consecutive strings in the language,  $\lceil (k+1)^{1.5} \rceil - \lceil k^{1.5} \rceil$ , is bounded above and below as follows

 $1.5\sqrt{k} - 1 \le \lceil (k+1)^{1.5} \rceil - \lceil k^{1.5} \rceil \le 1.5\sqrt{k} + 3$ 

All that's left is you need to carefully prove that *F* is a fooling set for *L*.