

Recall fooling sets and distinguishability. Two strings $x, y \in \Sigma^*$ are suffix distinguishable with respect to a given language L if there is a string z such that exactly one of xz and yz is in L . This means that any DFA that accepts L must necessarily take x and y to different states from its start state. A set of strings F is a fooling set for L if *any* pair of strings $x, y \in F, x \neq y$ are distinguishable. This means that any DFA for L requires at least $|F|$ states. To prove non-regularity of a language L you need to find an infinite fooling set F for L . Given a language L try to find a constant size fooling set first and then prove that one of size n exists for any given n which is basically the same as finding an infinite fooling set.

Note that another method to prove non-regularity is via *reductions*. Suppose you want to prove that L is non-regular. You can do regularity preserving operations on L to obtain a language L' which you already know is non-regular. Then L must not have been regular. For instance if \bar{L} is not regular then L is also not regular. You will see an example in Problem 4 below.

Prove that each of the following languages is *not* regular.

1. $\{0^{2^n}1^n \mid n \geq 0\}$
2. $\{0^m1^n \mid m \neq 2n\}$
3. $\{0^{2^n} \mid n \geq 0\}$
4. Strings over $\{0, 1\}$ where the number of 0s is exactly twice the number of 1s.
 - Describe an infinite fooling set for the language.
 - Use closure properties. What is language if you intersect the given language with 0^*1^* ?
5. Strings of properly nested parentheses $()$, brackets $[\]$, and braces $\{\}$. For example, the string $([\])\{\}$ is in this language, but the string $([\])$ is not, because the left and right delimiters don't match.
 - Describe an infinite fooling set for the language.
 - Use closure properties.
6. w , such that $|w| = \lceil k\sqrt{k} \rceil$, for some natural number k .

Hint: since this one is more difficult, we'll even give you a fooling set that works: try $F = \{0^{m^6} \mid m \geq 1\}$. We'll also provide a bound that can help: the difference between consecutive strings in the language, $\lceil (k+1)^{1.5} \rceil - \lceil k^{1.5} \rceil$, is bounded above and below as follows

$$1.5\sqrt{k} - 1 \leq \lceil (k+1)^{1.5} \rceil - \lceil k^{1.5} \rceil \leq 1.5\sqrt{k} + 3$$

All that's left is you need to carefully prove that F is a fooling set for L .
7. Strings of the form $w_1\#w_2\#\dots\#w_n$ for some $n \geq 2$, where each substring w_i is a string in $\{0, 1\}^*$, and some pair of substrings w_i and w_j are equal.

Work on these later:

7. $\{0^{n^2} \mid n \geq 0\}$

8. $\{w \in (0+1)^* \mid w \text{ is the binary representation of a perfect square}\}$