In lecture, we described an algorithm of Karatsuba that multiplies two \( n \)-digit integers using \( O(n^{\lg 3}) \) single-digit additions, subtractions, and multiplications. In this lab we’ll look at some extensions and applications of this algorithm.

1. Describe an algorithm to compute the product of an \( n \)-digit number and an \( m \)-digit number, where \( m < n \), in \( O(m^{\lg 3} - 1)n \) time.

**Solution:** Split the larger number into \( \lceil n/m \rceil \) chunks, each with \( m \) digits. Multiply the smaller number by each chunk in \( O(m^{\lg 3}) \) time using Karatsuba’s algorithm, and then add the resulting partial products with appropriate shifts.

```plaintext
SKewMultiply(x[0..m−1], y[0..n−1]):
prod ← 0
for i ← 0 to \( \lceil n/m \rceil - 1 \)
chunk ← y[i ⋅ m..(i + 1) ⋅ m − 1]
prod ← prod + Multiply(x, chunk) ⋅ 10^{i⋅m}
return prod
```

Each call to \( \text{Multiply} \) requires \( O(m^{\lg 3}) \) time, and all other work within a single iteration of the main loop requires \( O(m) \) time. Thus, the overall running time of the algorithm is \( O(1) + \lceil n/m \rceil O(m^{\lg 3}) = O(m^{\lg 3} - 1)n \) as required.

This is the standard method for multiplying a large integer by a single “digit” integer written in base \( 10^m \), but with each single-“digit” multiplication implemented using Karatsuba’s algorithm.

■
2. Describe an algorithm to compute the decimal representation of $2^n$ in $O(n^{\lg 3})$ time. (The standard algorithm that computes one digit at a time requires $\Theta(n^2)$ time.)

**Solution:** We compute $2^n$ via repeated squaring, implementing the following recurrence:

\[
2^n = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } n = 0 \\
(2^{n/2})^2 & \text{if } n > 0 \text{ is even} \\
2 \cdot (2^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor})^2 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd}
\end{cases}
\]

We use Karatsuba’s algorithm to implement decimal multiplication for each square.

```plaintext
TwoToThe(n):
  if n = 0
    return 1
  m ← ⌊n/2⌋
  z ← TwoToThe(m) \hspace{1em} ⟨recurse!⟩
  z ← Multiply(z, z) \hspace{1em} ⟨Karatsuba⟩
  if n is odd
    z ← Add(z, z)
  return z
```

The running time of this algorithm satisfies the recurrence $T(n) = T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + O(n^{\lg 3})$. We can safely ignore the floor in the recursive argument. The recursion tree for this algorithm is just a path; the work done at recursion depth $i$ is $O((n/2^i)^{\lg 3}) = O(n^{\lg 3}/3^i)$. Thus, the levels sums form a descending geometric series, which is dominated by the work at level 0, so the total running time is at most $O(n^{\lg 3})$. ■
3. Describe a divide-and-conquer algorithm to compute the decimal representation of an arbitrary \( n \)-bit binary number in \( O(n \lg^3) \) time. [Hint: Let \( x = a \cdot 2^{n/2} + b \). Watch out for an extra log factor in the running time.]

**Solution:** Following the hint, we break the input \( x \) into two smaller numbers \( x = a \cdot 2^{n/2} + b \); recursively convert \( a \) and \( b \) into decimal; convert \( 2^{n/2} \) into decimal using the solution to problem 2; multiply \( a \) and \( 2^{n/2} \) using Karatsuba’s algorithm; and finally add the product to \( b \) to get the final result.

```
DECIMAL(x[0..n-1]):
  if n < 100
    use brute force
  m ← ⌈n/2⌉
  a ← x[m..n-1]
  b ← x[0..m-1]
  return ADD(MULTIPLY(DECIMAL(a), TWO_TO_THE(m)), DECIMAL(b))
```

The running time of this algorithm satisfies the recurrence \( T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n \lg^3) \); the \( O(n \lg^3) \) term includes the running times of both \( \text{MULTIPLY} \) and \( \text{TWO_TO_THE} \) (as well as the final linear-time addition).

The recursion tree for this algorithm is a binary tree, with \( 2^i \) nodes at recursion depth \( i \). Each recursive call at depth \( i \) converts an \( n/2^i \)-bit binary number to decimal; the non-recursive work at the corresponding node of the recursion tree is \( O((n/2^i)^\lg^3) = O(n^{\lg^3}/3^i) \). Thus, the total work at depth \( i \) is \( 2^i \cdot O(n^{\lg^3}/3^i) = O(n^{\lg^3}/(3/2)^i) \). The level sums define a descending geometric series, which is dominated by its largest term \( O(n^{\lg^3}) \).

Notice that if we had converted \( 2^{n/2} \) to decimal *recursively* instead of calling \( \text{TWO_TO_THE} \), the recurrence would have been \( T(n) = 3T(n/2) + O(n^{\lg^3}) \). Every level of this recursion tree has the same sum, so the overall running time would be \( O(n^{\lg^3} \log n) \). ■
Other Divide and Conquer Problems:

4. Given an arbitrary array $A[1..n]$, describe an algorithm to determine in $O(n)$ time whether $A$ contains more than $n/4$ copies of any value. Do not use hashing, or radix sort, or any other method that depends on the precise input values.

Solution: HW Problem
Think about later:

*5. Suppose we can multiply two \( n \)-digit numbers in \( O(M(n)) \) time. Describe an algorithm to compute the decimal representation of an arbitrary \( n \)-bit binary number in \( O(M(n) \log n) \) time.

Solution: We modify the solutions of problems 2 and 3 to use the faster multiplication algorithm instead of Karatsuba’s algorithm. Let \( T_2(n) \) and \( T_3(n) \) denote the running times of TwoToThe and Decimal, respectively. We need to solve the recurrences

\[
T_2(n) = T_2(n/2) + O(M(n)) \quad \text{and} \quad T_3(n) = 2T_3(n/2) + T_2(n) + O(M(n)).
\]

But how can we do that when we don’t know \( M(n) \)?

For the moment, suppose \( M(n) = O(n^c) \) for some constant \( c > 0 \). Since any algorithm to multiply two \( n \)-digit numbers must read all \( n \) digits, we have \( M(n) = \Omega(n) \), and therefore \( c \geq 1 \). On the other hand, the grade-school lattice algorithm implies \( M(n) = O(n^3) \), so we can safely assume \( c \leq 2 \). With this assumption, the recursion tree method implies

\[
T_2(n) = T_2(n/2) + O(n^c) \quad \implies \quad T_2(n) = O(n^c)
\]

\[
T_3(n) = 2T_3(n/2) + O(n^c) \quad \implies \quad T_3(n) = \begin{cases} 
O(n \log n) & \text{if } c = 1, \\
O(n^c) & \text{if } c > 1.
\end{cases}
\]

So in this case, we have \( T_3(n) = O(M(n) \log n) \) as required.

In reality, \( M(n) \) may not be a simple polynomial, but we can effectively ignore any sub-polynomial noise using the following trick. Suppose we can write \( M(n) = n^c \cdot \mu(n) \) for some constant \( c \) and some arbitrary non-decreasing function \( \mu(n) \).

To solve the recurrence \( T_2(n) = T_2(n/2) + O(M(n)) \), we define a new function \( \tilde{T}_2(n) = T_2(n)/\mu(n) \). Then we have

\[
\tilde{T}_2(n) = \frac{T_2(n/2)}{\mu(n)} + \frac{O(M(n))}{\mu(n)} \leq \frac{T_2(n/2)}{\mu(n/2)} + \frac{O(M(n))}{\mu(n/2)} = \tilde{T}_2(n/2) + O(n^c).
\]

Here we used the inequality \( \mu(n) \geq \mu(n/2) \); this the only fact about \( \mu \) that we actually need. The recursion tree method implies \( \tilde{T}_2(n) \leq O(n^c) \), and therefore \( T_2(n) \leq O(n^c) \cdot \mu(n) = O(M(n)) \).

Similarly, to solve the recurrence \( T_3(n) = 2T_3(n/2) + O(M(n)) \), we define \( \tilde{T}_3(n) = T_3(n)/\mu(n) \), which gives us the recurrence \( \tilde{T}_3(n) \leq 2\tilde{T}_3(n/2) + O(n^c) \). The recursion tree method implies

\[
\tilde{T}_3(n) \leq \begin{cases} 
O(n \log n) & \text{if } c = 1, \\
O(n^c) & \text{if } c > 1.
\end{cases}
\]

In both cases, we have \( \tilde{T}_3(n) = O(n^c \log n) \), which implies that \( T_3(n) = O(M(n) \log n) \).

\footnote{A recent multiplication algorithm based on fast Fourier transforms runs in \( O(n \log n 2^{O(\log^a n)}) \) time, so we can safely assume that \( c = 1 \). But our solution doesn’t use that fact.}