Pre-lecture brain teaser

Write a (very simple) recursive algorithm that calcuates the Fibonnacci $n^{\text {th }}$ number.
(( Fibonnacci $n^{\text {th }}$ number. $\quad F_{n}=F_{n-1}+F_{n-2}$ where $F_{0}=\underline{0}, F_{1}=\underline{1}$
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## Pre-lecture brain teaser

Write a (very simple) recursive algorithm that calcuates the Fibonnacci $n^{\text {th }}$ number.

$$
F_{n}=F_{n-1}+F_{n-2} \text { where } F_{0}=0, F_{1}=1
$$

Learning Objectives

## Learning Objectives

At the end of the lecture, you should be able to understand

- the concepts of the memoizationand dynamic programming,
- how to improve the time and space complexities of recursive algorithms using the above concepts,
- dynamic programming for the fibonacci numbers and longest increasing subsequence problem, and
- where and how to use dynamic programming to refine recursive algorithms.

Recursion and Memoization

## Fibonacci Numbers

Fibonacci numbers defined by recurrence:

$$
F(n)=F(n-1)+F(n-2) \text { and } F(0)=0, F(1)=1
$$

These numbers have many interesting properties. A journal The Fibonacci Quarterly ${ }^{11}$ !

## Fibonacci Numbers

Fibonacci numbers defined by recurrence:

$$
F(n)=F(n-1)+F(n-2) \text { and } F(0)=0, F(1)=1
$$

These numbers have many interesting properties. A journal The Fibonacci Quarterly ${ }^{11}$

- Benet's formula: $F(n)=\frac{\varphi^{n}-(1-\varphi)^{n}}{\sqrt{5}} \approx \frac{1.618^{n}-(-0.618)^{n}}{\sqrt{5}} \approx \frac{1.618^{n}}{\sqrt{5}}$
$\varphi$ is the golden ratio $(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2 \simeq 1.618$.
- $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} F(n+1) / F(n)=\varphi$

kudo frame / wind oo / door, ...


## Recursive Algorithm for Fibonacci Numbers

Question: Given $n$, compute $F(n)$.

```
Fib(n):
    if ( }n=0\mathrm{ )
        return 0
        else if ( }n=1\mathrm{ )
        return 1
        else
        return Fib (n-1) + Fib (n-2)
```


## Recursive Algorithm for Fibonacci Numbers

Question: Given $n$, compute $F(n)$.

```
Fib(n):
    if ( }n=0\mathrm{ )
        return 0
        else if ( }n=1\mathrm{ )
        return 1
    else
        return Fib (n-1) + Fib (n-2)
```

Running time? Let $T(n)$ be the number of additions in $\operatorname{Fib}(n)$.

$$
T(n)=T(n-1)+T(n-2)+O(1)
$$


$\Rightarrow \quad \#$ of leaves: $O\left(2^{n}\right)$

$$
\Rightarrow \quad T(n)=1 \cdot O\left(2^{n}\right) \text { Additions }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(n) & =1+2+4+\cdots+2^{n} \\
& =O\left(2^{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Exact bound:

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(n) & =\Theta\left(\phi^{n}\right) \\
\phi & =1.6<2
\end{aligned}
$$

## Recursive Algorithm for Fibonacci Numbers

Question: Given $n$, compute $F(n)$.

```
Fib(n):
    if ( }n=0\mathrm{ )
        return 0
    else if ( }n=1\mathrm{ )
        return 1
    else
        return Fib (n-1) + Fib (n-2)
```

Running time? Let $T(n)$ be the number of additions in $\operatorname{Fib}(\mathrm{n})$.

$$
T(n)=T(n-1)+T(n-2)+1 \text { and } T(0)=T(1)=0
$$

## Recursive Algorithm for Fibonacci Numbers

Question: Given $n$, compute $F(n)$.
$\operatorname{Fib}(n)$ :

$$
\text { if }(n=0)
$$

$$
\text { return } 0
$$

$$
\text { else if }(n=1)
$$

$$
\text { return } 1
$$

else

$$
\text { return } \operatorname{Fib}(n-1)+\operatorname{Fib}(n-2)
$$

Running time? Let $T(n)$ be the number of additions in $\operatorname{Fib}(n)$.

$$
T(n)=T(n-1)+T(n-2)+1 \text { and } T(0)=T(1)=0
$$

Roughly same as $F(n): T(n)=\Theta\left(\varphi^{n}\right)$.
The number of additions is exponential in $n$. Can we do better?

## Recursion tree for the Recursive Fibonacci

(0) (1)
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Recursion tree for the Recursive Fibonacci


Observation: OPTIMAL SUBSTRUCTURE
${ }^{\text {}}$ A recursive solution contains a small number of distinct subproblem repeated many times.'

An iterative algorithm for Fibonacci numbers

Fiblter ( $n$ ) :
if $(n=0)$ then
return 0
if $(n=1)$ then return 1

$$
F[0]=0
$$

$$
F[1]=1
$$

for $i=2$ to $n$ do

$$
F[i]=F[i-1]+F[i-2 k
$$

$$
\text { return } F[n]
$$

$F$ stones the values so far!

Herative bottown-up calculation.

$$
T(n)=O(n) \text { additions }
$$

## An iterative algorithm for Fibonacci numbers

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Fiblter }(n): \\
& \text { if }(n=0) \text { then } \\
& \quad \text { return } 0 \\
& \text { if }(n=1) \text { then } \\
& \text { return } 1 \\
& F[0]=0 \\
& F[1]=1 \\
& \text { for } i=2 \text { to } n \text { do } \\
& F[i]=F[i-1]+F[i-2] \\
& \text { return } F[n]
\end{aligned}
$$

What is the running time of the algorithm?

$$
O(x) \text { additions! }
$$

## An iterative algorithm for Fibonacci numbers

## Fiblter ( $n$ ) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { if }(n=0) \text { then } \\
& \quad \text { return } 0 \\
& \text { if }(n=1) \text { then } \\
& \quad \text { return } 1 \\
& F[0]=0 \\
& F[1]=1 \\
& \text { for } i=2 \text { to } n \text { do } \\
& \quad F[i]=F[i-1]+F[i-2] \\
& \text { return } F[n]
\end{aligned}
$$

What is the running time of the algorithm? $O(n)$ additions.

What is the difference?

- Recursive algorithm is computing the same numbers again and again.
- Iterative algorithm is storing computed values and building bottom up the final value.

Recursive:


## What is the difference?

- Recursive algorithm is computing the same numbers again and again.
- Iterative algorithm is storing computed values and building bottom up the final value. Memoization.


## What is the difference?

- Recursive algorithm is computing the same numbers again and again.
- Iterative algorithm is storing computed values and building bottom up the final value. Memoization.

Dynamic Programming: Finding a recursion that can be effectively/efficiently memorized.

$$
\therefore O\left(n^{k}\right) \text { runtime for come constant } k
$$

Leads to polynomial time algorithm if number of sub-problems is polynomial in input size.

## Implicit vs. explicit memoization

Implicit or automatic memoization

Can we convert recursive algorithm into $a^{2}{ }^{\text {e efficient }}$ ' algorithm without explicitly doing an iterative algorithm?

Compiler vile do it!
refers to a polynomial time algorithm, i.e., runtime $=O\left(r^{k}\right)$ for some $k$ constant independent of $n$.

SODE NDTE: Divide-and-conquer recurrences are fundamentally different from what we would like for dynamic programming (DP). In DP, we want the 'smaller' instances to be repeated. ' Avoid recomputations.

For instance: In merge sort, smaller subproblems are not repeated.

## Implicit or automatic memoization

Can we convert recursive algorithm into an efficient algorithm without explicitly doing an iterative algorithm?

```
Fib(n):
    if ( }n=0\mathrm{ )
        return 0
    if ( }n=1\mathrm{ )
        return 1
```

    if (Fib(n) was previously computed)
    return stored value of Fib(n)
    else
        return \(\operatorname{Fib}(n-1)+\operatorname{Fib}(n-2)\)
    
## Implicit or automatic memoization

Can we convert recursive algorithm into an efficient algorithm without explicitly doing an iterative algorithm?

```
Fib(n):
    if ( }n=0\mathrm{ )
        return 0
    if ( }n=1\mathrm{ )
        return 1
    if (Fib(n) was previously computed)
    return stored value of Fib(n)
    else
        return Fib (n-1) + Fib (n-2)
```

How do we keep track of previously computed values?

## Implicit or automatic memoization

Can we convert recursive algorithm into an efficient algorithm without explicitly doing an iterative algorithm?

```
Fib(n):
    if ( }n=0\mathrm{ )
        return 0
    if ( }n=1\mathrm{ )
        return 1
    if (Fib(n) was previously computed)
    return stored value of Fib(n)
    else
        return Fib (n-1) + Fib (n-2)
```

How do we keep track of previously computed values?
Two methods: explicitly and implicitly (via data structure)

## Implicit or automatic memoization

Initialize a (dynamic) dictionary data structure $D$ to empty
$\operatorname{Fib}(n)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { if }(n=0) \\
& \quad \text { return } 0 \\
& \text { if }(n=1) \\
& \quad \text { return } 1
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& \text { if }(n \text { is already in } D) \\
& \quad \text { return value stored with } n \text { in } D \\
& \text { val } \Leftarrow \operatorname{Fib}(n-1)+\text { Fib }(n-2) \\
& \text { Store }(n, v a l) \text { in } D \\
& \text { return val }
\end{aligned}
$$

Use hash-table or a map to remember which values were already computed.
Compiler will do it! key value pair. For instance: Python dictionary.
Made by the compiles. Ask the compiler to do the memorization.

## Explicit (not automatic) memoization

- Initialize table/array $M$ of size $\left\{^{\#} n: M[i]=-1\right.$ for subproblems $i=0, \ldots, n$.

Do it yourself memoization!

## Explicit (not automatic) memorization

- Initialize table/array $M$ of size $n: M[i]=-1$ for $i=0, \ldots, n$.
- Resulting code:

Fib ( $n$ ) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { if }(n=0) \\
& \text { return } 0 \\
& \text { if }(n=1) \\
& \text { return } 1 \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { if }(M[n] \neq-1) / / M[n]: \text { stored value of } \operatorname{Fib}(n)\} \\
\quad \text { return } M[n] \\
M[n] \Leftarrow \operatorname{Fib}(n-1)+\operatorname{Fib}(n-2)
\end{array} \\
& \text { return } M[n] \\
& \text { You are explicitly } \\
& \text { writing what the } \\
& \text { compiler may do } \\
& \text { implicitly. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Explicit (not automatic) memoization

- Initialize table/array $M$ of size $n: M[i]=-1$ for $i=0, \ldots, n$.
- Resulting code:

Fib ( $n$ ) :

```
if ( }n=0\mathrm{ )
    return 0
if ( }n=1\mathrm{ )
    return 1
if (M[n]\not=-1) // M[n]: stored value of Fib(n)
    return M[n]
M[n]\Leftarrow\operatorname{Fib}(n-1)+\operatorname{Fib}(n-2)
return M[n]
```

- Need to know upfront the number of sub-problems to allocate memory.
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Recursion tree for the memorized Fib...


## Implicit or automatic memoization

## (RIY)

- Recursive version:

$$
\begin{gathered}
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{d}\right): \\
\operatorname{CODE}
\end{gathered}
$$

- Recursive version with memoization:

```
g(x},\mp@subsup{x}{1}{},\mp@subsup{x}{2}{},\ldots,\mp@subsup{x}{d}{})
    if f}\mathrm{ already computed for ( }\mp@subsup{x}{1}{},\mp@subsup{x}{2}{},\ldots,\mp@subsup{x}{d}{})\mathrm{ then
        return value already computed
    NEW_CODE
```

- NEW_CODE:
- Replaces any "return $\alpha$ " with
- Remember " $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)=\alpha$ "; return $\alpha$.

Explicit vs Implicit Memoization

- Explicit memoization (on the way to iterative algorithm) preferred:
- analyze problem ahead of time

Overlapping subprodems: A recursive solution contains a "small" number of distinct subproblem repeated many times.
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- Allows for efficient memory allocation and access.
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## Explicit vs Implicit Memoization

- Explicit memoization (on the way to iterative algorithm) preferred:
- analyze problem ahead of time
- Allows for efficient memory allocation and access.
- Implicit (automatic) memoization:
- problem structure or algorithm is not well understood.
- Need to pay overhead of data-structure.


## Explicit vs Implicit Memoization

- Explicit memoization (on the way to iterative algorithm) preferred:
- analyze problem ahead of time
- Allows for efficient memory allocation and access.
- Implicit (automatic) memoization:
- problem structure or algorithm is not well understood.
- Need to pay overhead of data-structure.
- Functional languages (e.g., LISP) automatically do memoization, usually via hashing based dictionaries.


## Explicit/implicit memoization for Fibonacci

## Init: Init dictionary $D$

Fib ( $n$ ) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { if }(n=0) \\
& \quad \text { return } 0 \\
& \text { if }(n=1) \\
& \quad \text { return } 1 \\
& \text { if }(n \text { is already in } D) \\
& \quad \text { return value stored } \\
& \quad \text { with } n \text { in } D \\
& \qquad v a l \Leftarrow \operatorname{Fib}(n-1)+\operatorname{Fib}(n-2) \\
& \text { Store }(n, \text { val }) \text { in } D \\
& \text { return val }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Explicit memoization

Implicit memoization

Dynamic programming

## Removing the recursion by filling the table in the right order

Fib ( $n$ ):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { if }(n=0) \\
& \quad \text { return } 0 \\
& \text { if } \quad(n=1) \\
& \quad \text { return } 1 \\
& \text { if } \quad(M[n] \neq-1) \\
& \quad \text { return } M[n] \\
& M[n] \Leftarrow \operatorname{Fib}(n-1)+\operatorname{Fib}(n-2) \\
& \text { return } M[n]
\end{aligned}
$$

Expliut Memoization

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Fiblter }(n): \\
& \text { if }(n=0) \text { then } \\
& \quad \text { return } 0 \\
& \text { if }(n=1) \text { then } \\
& \text { return } 1 \\
& F[0]=0 \\
& F[1]=1 \\
& \text { for } i=2 \text { to } n \text { do } \\
& F[i]=F[i-1]+F[i-2] \\
& \text { return } F[n]
\end{aligned}
$$

Iterative Algorithm

## Dynamic programming: Saving space!

Saving space. Do we need an array of $n$ numbers? Not really.

Fiblter ( $n$ ) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { if }(n=0) \text { then } \\
& \text { return } 0 \\
& \text { if }(n=1) \text { then } \\
& \text { return } 1 \\
& F[0]=0 \\
& F[1]=1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { for } i=2 \text { to } n \text { do }
$$

$$
F[i]=F[i-1]+F[i-2]
$$

return $F[n]$

Fiblter ( $n$ ) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { if }(n=0) \text { then } \\
& \text { return } 0
\end{aligned}
$$

if $(n=1)$ then return 1
prev2 $=0$
prev $1=1$
for $i=2$ to $n$ do temp $=$ prev $1+$ prev 2
prev2 $=$ prev1
prev1 $=$ temp
return prev1

## Dynamic programming - quick review

Dynamic Programming is smart recursion

## Dynamic programming - quick review

Dynamic Programming is smart recursion + explicit memoization

## Dynamic programming - quick review

Dynamic Programming is smart recursion

+ explicit memoization
+ filling the table in right order
+ removing recursion.

Analyzing memorized recursive function

Suppose we have a recursive program foo $(x)$ that takes an input $x$.

- On input of size $n$ the number of distinct sub-problems that $f \circ o(x)$ generates is at most $A(n)$
- foo $(x)$ spends at most $B(n)$ time not counting the time for its recursive calls.

Eg. Fib (n): $A(n)=O(n)$

$$
B(x)=1 \quad\} \quad{ }_{A(x) B(x)}
$$



Runtime of $\mathrm{Fib}(n)$ [Memoized] $=O(n)$

## Analyzing memorized recursive function

Suppose we have a recursive program $f \circ o(x)$ that takes an input $x$.

- On input of size $n$ the number of distinct sub-problems that foo $(x)$ generates is at most $A(n)$
- foo $(x)$ spends at most $B(n)$ time not counting the time for its recursive calls.

Suppose we memorize the recursion.
Assumption: Storing and retrieving solutions to pre-computed problems takes $O(1)$ time.
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Q: What is an upper bound on the running time of memorized version of $f \circ o(x)$ if $|x|=n$ ?

## Analyzing memorized recursive function

Suppose we have a recursive program $f \circ o(x)$ that takes an input $x$.

- On input of size $n$ the number of distinct sub-problems that foo $(x)$ generates is at most $A(n)$
- foo $(x)$ spends at most $B(n)$ time not counting the time for its recursive calls.

Suppose we memorize the recursion.
Assumption: Storing and retrieving solutions to pre-computed problems takes $O(1)$ time.
Q: What is an upper bound on the running time of memorized version of $f \circ o(x)$ if $|x|=n$ ? $O(A(n) B(n))$.

Longest Increasing Sub-sequence Revisited

$$
\underbrace{O\left(n 2^{n}\right)}_{f} \xrightarrow[\substack{\text { recursion }}]{?} O\left(2^{n}\right) \xrightarrow[\substack{\text { memoization }}]{?} O\left(n^{2}\right)
$$

"Bonte -frice"

## Sequences

## Definition

Sequence: an ordered list $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$. Length of a sequence is number of elements in the list.

## Definition

 $a_{i_{1}}, \ldots, a_{i_{k}}$ is a sub-sequence of $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ if$1 \leq i_{1}<i_{2}<\ldots<i_{k} \leq n$.

## Definition

A sequence is increasing if $a_{1}<a_{2}<\ldots<a_{n}$. It is non-decreasing if $a_{1} \leq a_{2} \leq \ldots \leq a_{n}$. Similarly decreasing and non-increasing.

## Sequences - Example...

## Example

- Sequence: 6, 3, 5, 2, 7, 8, 1
- Subsequence of above sequence: 5,2,1
- Increasing sequence: 3, 5, 9, 17, 54
- Decreasing sequence: $34,21,7,5,1$
- Increasing subsequence of the first sequence: $2,7,8$.
- Longest Increasing subsequence of the first sequence: 3, 5, 7,8 .


## Longest Increasing Subsequence Problem

Input $A$ sequence of numbers $a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}$
Goal Find an increasing subsequence $a_{i_{0}}, a_{i_{1}}, \ldots, a_{i_{k}}$ of maximum length

## Longest Increasing Subsequence Problem

Input $A$ sequence of numbers $a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}$
Goal Find an increasing subsequence $a_{i_{0}}, a_{i_{1}}, \ldots, a_{i_{k}}$ of maximum length

## Example

- Sequence: 6, 3, 5, 2, 7, 8, 1
- Increasing subsequences: 6, 7, 8 and 3, 5, 7, 8 and 2, 7 etc
- Longest increasing subsequence: 3, 5, 7, 8


## Naive Recursion Enumeration - State Tree



- This is just for [6,3,5,2,7]! (Tikz won't print larger trees)
- How many leafs are there for the full $[6,3,5,2,7,8,1]$ sequence
- What is the running time?


## Naive Recursion Enumeration - Code

Assume $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ is contained in an array $A$

```
algLISNaive (A[1..n]) :
    max = 0
    for each subsequence B of }A\mathrm{ do
        if B}\mathrm{ is increasing and }|B|>\operatorname{max}\mathrm{ then
                max = |B|
    Output max
```

Running time: $O\left(n 2^{n}\right)$.
$2^{n}$ subsequences of a sequence of length $n$ and $O(n)$ time to check if a given sequence is increasing.

## Backtracking Approach: LIS: Longest increasing subsequence

Can we find a recursive algorithm for LIS?
$\operatorname{LIS}(A[0 . . n-1]):$

## Backtracking Approach: LIS: Longest increasing subsequence

Can we find a recursive algorithm for LIS?
$\operatorname{LIS}(A[0 . . n-1]):$

- Case 1: Does not contain $A[n-1]$ in which case $\operatorname{LIS}(A[0 . . n-1])=\operatorname{LIS}(A[0 . .(n-1)])$
- Case 2: contains $A[n-1]$ in which case $\operatorname{LIS}(A[0 . . n-1])$ is not so clear.


## Observation

For second case we want to find a subsequence in $A[1 . .(n-2)]$ that is restricted to numbers less than $A[n-1]$. This suggests that a more general problem is LIS_smaller $(A[0 . . n-1], x)$ which gives the longest increasing subsequence in $A$ where each number in the sequence is less than $x$.

## Example

Sequence: $A[0 . .6]=6,3,5,2,7,8,1$


## Recursive Approach

$\operatorname{LIS}(A[1 . . n])$ : the length of longest increasing subsequence in $A$
LIS_smaller $(A[1 . . n], x)$ : length of longest increasing subsequence in $A[1 . . n]$ with all numbers in subsequence less than $x$

LIS_smaller (A[1..i], x):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { if } i=0 \text { then return } 0 \\
& m=\text { LIS_smaller }(A[1 . . i-1], x) \\
& \text { if } A[i]<x \text { then } \\
& \quad m=\max (m, 1+\text { LIS_smaller }(A[1 . . i-1], A[i])) \\
& \text { Output } m
\end{aligned}
$$

$\operatorname{LIS}(A[1 . . n]):$ return LIS_smaller (A[1..n], $\infty$ )
$O\left(2^{n}\right)$ : Don't have to check for the increasing nature

## Recursive Approach

LIS_smaller (A[1..i], x):
if $i=0$ then return 0
$m=$ LIS_smaller $(A[1 . . i-1], x)$
if $A[i]<x$ then
$m=\max \left(m, 1+\operatorname{LIS} \_\right.$smaller $\left.(A[1 . . i-1], A[i])\right)$
Output m

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{LIS}(A[1 . . n]): \\
& \quad \text { return } \operatorname{LIS\_ smaller}(A[1 . . n], \infty)
\end{aligned}
$$

- How many distinct sub-problems will LIS_smaller( $A[1 . . n], \infty)$ generate?


## Recursive Approach

LIS_smaller (A[1..i], x):
if $i=0$ then return 0
$m=$ LIS_smaller $(A[1 . . i-1], x)$
if $A[i]<x$ then
$m=\max (m, 1+$ LIS_smaller $(A[1 . . i-1], A[i]))$
Output m
$\operatorname{LIS}(A[1 . . n]):$
return LIS_smaller (A[1..n], $\infty$ )

- How many distinct sub-problems will LIS_smaller $(A[1 . . n], \infty)$ generate? $O\left(n^{2}\right)$


## Recursive Approach

LIS_smaller $(A[1 . . i], x)$ :
if $i=0$ then return 0
$m=\operatorname{LIS}$ _smaller $(A[1 . . i-1], x)$
if $A[i]<x$ then
$m=\max (m, 1+$ LIS_smaller $(A[1 . . i-1], A[i]))$
Output m

## $\operatorname{LIS}(A[1 . . n])$ :

return LIS_smaller ( $A[1 . . n], \infty)$

- How many distinct sub-problems will LIS_smaller $(A[1 . . n], \infty)$ generate? $O\left(n^{2}\right)$
- What is the running time if we memorize recursion?


## Recursive Approach

LIS_smaller $(A[1 . . i], x)$ :
if $i=0$ then return 0
$m=$ LIS_smaller $(A[1 . . i-1], x)$
if $A[i]<x$ then

$$
m=\max (m, 1+\text { LIS_smaller }(A[1 . . i-1], A[i]))
$$

Output m
$\operatorname{LIS}(A[1 . . n])$ : return LIS_smaller $(A[1 . . n], \infty)$

- How many distinct sub-problems will LIS_smaller $(A[1 . . n], \infty)$ generate? $O\left(n^{2}\right)$
- What is the running time if we memorize recursion? $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ since each call takes $O(1)$ time to assemble the answers from to recursive calls and no other computation.


## Recursive Approach

LIS_smaller $(A[1 . . i], x)$ :
if $i=0$ then return 0
$m=$ LIS_smaller $(A[1 . . i-1], x)$
if $A[i]<x$ then

$$
m=\max (m, 1+\text { LIS_smaller }(A[1 . . i-1], A[i]))
$$

Output m
$\operatorname{LIS}(A[1 . . n])$ : return LIS_smaller $(A[1 . . n], \infty)$

- How many distinct sub-problems will LIS_smaller $(A[1 . . n], \infty)$ generate? $O\left(n^{2}\right)$
- What is the running time if we memorize recursion? $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ since each call takes $O(1)$ time to assemble the answers from to recursive calls and no other computation.
- How much space for memoization?


## Recursive Approach

LIS_smaller $(\underline{A[1 . . i]}, \underline{x})$ :
if $i=0$ then return 0
$m=$ LIS_smaller $(A[1 . . i-1], x)$
if $A[i]<x$ then

$$
m=\max (m, 1+\text { LIS_smaller }(A[1 . . i-1], A[i]))
$$

Output m
$\operatorname{LIS}(A[1 . . n])$ : return LIS_smaller $(A[1 . . n], \infty)$

- How many distinct sub-problems will LIS_smaller $(A[1 . . n], \infty)$ generate? $O\left(n^{2}\right)$
- What is the running time if we memorize recursion? $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ since each call takes $O(1)$ time to assemble the answers from to recursive calls and no other computation.
- How much space for memoization? $O\left(n^{2}\right)$


## Naming sub-problems and recursive equation

After seeing that number of sub-problems is $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ we name them to help us understand the structure better. For notational ease we add $\infty$ at end of array (in position $n+1$ )
$\underline{\operatorname{LIS}(i, j)}$ : length of longest increasing sequence in $\underline{A[1 . . i]}$ among numbers less than $\underline{A[j]}$ (defined only for $i<j$ )

## Naming sub-problems and recursive equation

After seeing that number of sub-problems is $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ we name them to help us understand the structure better. For notational ease we add $\infty$ at end of array (in position $n+1$ )

LIS $(i, j)$ : length of longest increasing sequence in $A[1 . . i]$ among numbers less than $A[j]{ }_{n+1}^{(d e f i n e d ~ o n l y ~ f o r ~} i<j$ )

$$
\operatorname{LIS}(n, n+1)
$$

Base case: $\operatorname{LIS}(0, j)=0$ for $1 \leq j \leq n+1 \leftarrow A[0, \ldots, i]$ : empty $A$ Recursive relation:

- $\operatorname{LIS}(i, j)=\operatorname{LIS}(\underline{i-1}, \underline{j})$ if $\underline{A[i]} \geq \underline{A[j]} \leftarrow$ If me don't inchude A[i] in LIS.
- $\operatorname{LIS}(i, j)=\max \{\underline{\operatorname{LIS}(i-1, j}), 1+\operatorname{LIS}(i-1, i)\}$ if $A[i]<A[j]$

Output: $\operatorname{LIS}(n, n+1) . \longrightarrow$ Plence spend som fime here!

## How to order bottom up computation?



Recursive relation:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\qquad I S(i, j)= & i=0 \\
\text { Sequence: } & \begin{cases}0 & \underline{A[i] \geq A[j]} \\
\frac{L I S(i-1, j)}{2[1 \ldots 7]=[6,3,5,2,7,8,1]} & \underline{A[i]<A[j]} \\
\max \left\{\frac{L I S(i-1, j)}{1+\underline{L I S(i-1, i)}}\right.\end{cases}
\end{array}
$$

$\operatorname{LIS}(1,2):$

$$
\begin{gathered}
A[1]=6 \quad A[2]=3 \\
6>3 \\
\Rightarrow \quad \operatorname{LIS}(1,2)=0=\operatorname{LIS}(0,2)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lll} 
& A[1]=6 & A[5]=7 \\
\Rightarrow \quad & 6 & <7 \\
\operatorname{LIS}(1,5) & =\max \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{LS}(0,5) \\
1+L S(0,1)
\end{array}\right. & =1+0 \\
1+0
\end{array}
$$

## How to order bottom up computation?

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A}[1]=6 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A}[2]=3 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A}[3]=5 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A}[4]=2 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A}[5]=7 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A}[6]=8 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A}[7]=1 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ |  | Represents limiter j |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| [6] | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| [6,3] | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| [6,3,5] | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| [6,3,5,2] | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| [6,3,5,2,7] | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| [6,3,5,2,7,8] | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| [6,3,5,2,7,8,1] | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Represents sub |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Recursive relation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{LIS}(i, j)= \\
& \text { Sequence: } \\
& \begin{array}{c}
\text { Sequence: } \\
A[1 \ldots 7]=[6,3,5,2,7,8,1]
\end{array} \begin{cases}0 & i=0 \\
\operatorname{LIS}(i-1, j) & A[i] \geq A[j] \\
\max \left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\operatorname{LIS}(i-1, j) \\
1+\operatorname{LIS}(i-1, i)
\end{array}\right. & A[i]<A[j]\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

## How to order bottom up computation?



## Recursive relation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{LIS}(i, j)= \\
& \text { Sequence: } \\
& A[1 \ldots 7]=[6,3,5,2,7,8,1] \\
& \begin{cases}0 & i=0 \\
\operatorname{LIS}(i-1, j) & A[i] \geq A[j] \\
\max \left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\operatorname{LIS}(i-1, j) \\
1+\operatorname{LIS}(i-1, i)
\end{array}\right. & A[i]<A[j]\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

## How to order bottom up computation?



## Recursive relation:

$$
\begin{gathered}
L I S(i, j)= \\
A[1 \ldots 7]=[6,3,5,2,7,8,1] \quad \begin{cases}0 & i=0 \\
\operatorname{LIS}(i-1, j) & A[i] \geq A[j] \\
\max \left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\operatorname{LIS}(i-1, j) \\
1+\operatorname{LIS}(i-1, i)
\end{array}\right. & A[i]<A[j]\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

## How to order bottom up computation?

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A}[1]=6 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A}[2]=3 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A}[3]=5 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A}[4]=2 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A}[5]=7 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A}[6]=8 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A}[7]=1 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { inf } \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | Represents limiter j |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| [6] | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |
| [6,3] | 2 |  |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |
| [6,3,5] | 3 |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | ${ }_{2}^{1}$ | 0 | 2 |  |
| [6,3,5,2] | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| [6,3,5,2,7] | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| [6,3,5,2,7,8] | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| [6,3,5,2,7,8,1] | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Represents sub |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Recursive relation:

$$
\begin{gathered}
L I S(i, j)= \\
A[1 \ldots 7]=[6,3,5,2,7,8,1] \quad \begin{cases}0 & i=0 \\
\operatorname{LIS}(i-1, j) & A[i] \geq A[j] \\
\max \left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\operatorname{LIS}(i-1, j) \\
1+\operatorname{LIS}(i-1, i)
\end{array}\right. & A[i]<A[j]\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

## How to order bottom up computation?

|  |  | $\mathrm{A}[1]=6$ | $\mathrm{~A}[2]=3$ | $\mathrm{~A}[3]=5$ | $\mathrm{~A}[4]=2$ | $\mathrm{~A}[5]=7$ | $\mathrm{~A}[6]=8$ | $\mathrm{~A}[7]=1$ | inf | Represents limiter |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |
| [] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $[6]$ | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |
| $[6,3]$ | 2 |  |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |
| $[6,3,5]$ | 3 |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 |  |
| $[6,3,5,2]$ | 5 |  |  | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |
| $[6,3,5,2,7]$ | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $[6,3,5,2,7,8]$ | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $[6,3,5,2,7,8,1]$ | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Recursive relation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{LIS}(i, j)= \\
& \text { Sequence: } \\
& \begin{array}{c}
\text { Sequence: } \\
A[1 \ldots 7]=[6,3,5,2,7,8,1]
\end{array} \begin{cases}0 & i=0 \\
\operatorname{LIS}(i-1, j) & A[i] \geq A[j] \\
\max \left\{\begin{array}{cl}
L I S(i-1, j) \\
1+L I S(i-1, i)
\end{array}\right. & A[i]<A[j]\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

## How to order bottom up computation?

|  |  | $\mathrm{A}[1]=6$ | $\mathrm{~A}[2]=3$ | $\mathrm{~A}[3]=5$ | $\mathrm{~A}[4]=2$ | $\mathrm{~A}[5]=7$ | $\mathrm{~A}[6]=8$ | $\mathrm{~A}[7]=1$ | inf | Represents limiter |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |
| [] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $[6]$ | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |
| $[6,3]$ | 2 |  |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |
| $[6,3,5]$ | 3 |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 |  |
| $[6,3,5,2]$ | 5 |  |  | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |
| $[6,3,5,2,7]$ | 5 |  |  |  | 3 | 0 | 3 |  |  |  |
| $[6,3,5,2,7,8]$ | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $[6,3,5,2,7,8,1]$ | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Recursive relation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{LIS}(i, j)= \\
& \text { Sequence: } \\
& \begin{array}{c}
\text { Sequence: } \\
A[1 \ldots 7]=[6,3,5,2,7,8,1]
\end{array} \begin{cases}0 & i=0 \\
\operatorname{LIS}(i-1, j) & A[i] \geq A[j] \\
\max \left\{\begin{array}{cl}
L I S(i-1, j) \\
1+L I S(i-1, i)
\end{array}\right. & A[i]<A[j]\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

## How to order bottom up computation?

|  |  | $\mathrm{A}[1]=6$ | $\mathrm{~A}[2]=3$ | $\mathrm{~A}[3]=5$ | $\mathrm{~A}[4]=2$ | $\mathrm{~A}[5]=7$ | $\mathrm{~A}[6]=8$ | $\mathrm{~A}[7]=1$ | inf | Represents limiter |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |
| [] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $[6]$ | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |
| $[6,3]$ | 2 |  |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |
| $[6,3,5]$ | 3 |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 |  |
| $[6,3,5,2]$ |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |
| $[6,3,5,2,7]$ | 6 |  |  | 3 | 0 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| $[6,3,5,2,7,8]$ | 6 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 4 |  |  |  |
| $[6,3,5,2,7,8,1]$ | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Recursive relation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{LIS}(i, j)= \\
& \begin{array}{c}
\text { Sequence: } \\
A[1 \ldots 7]=[6,3,5,2,7,8,1]
\end{array} \\
& \begin{cases}0 & i=0 \\
\operatorname{LIS}(i-1, j) & A[i] \geq A[j] \\
\max \left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\operatorname{LIS}(i-1, j) \\
1+\operatorname{LIS}(i-1, i)
\end{array}\right. & A[i]<A[j]\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

## How to order bottom up computation?

|  |  | $\mathrm{A}[1]=6$ | $\mathrm{A}[2]=3$ | A[3] $=5$ | A $[4]=2$ |  | A $[6]=8$ | A $[7]=1$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |
| [] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | j |  |
| [6] | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |
| [6,3] | 2 |  |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |
| [6,3,5] | 3 |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 |  |  |
| [6,3,5,2] | 4 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 |  |  |
| [6,3,5,2,7] | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 0 | 3 |  |  |
| [6,3,5,2,7,8] | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| [6,3,5,2,7,8,1] | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{LIS}(i, j)= \\
& \text { Sequence: } \\
& A[1 \ldots 7]=[6,3,5,2,7,8,1] \\
& \text { いS }=[3,5,7,8] \\
& \begin{cases}0 & i=0 \\
\operatorname{LIS}(i-1, j) & A[i] \geq A[j] \\
\max \left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\operatorname{LIS}(i-1, j) \\
1+\operatorname{LIS}(i-1, i)
\end{array}\right. & A[i]<A[j]\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

diagonal + pst off diagonal $+\cdots$ upper right corner

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =(n+1)+\frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2} \\
& =\frac{n^{2}+3 n+2}{2} \\
& \left.=0(n-1)+\cdots n^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Iterative algorithm

The dynamic program for longest increasing subsequence
LIS-Iterative (A[1..n]):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A[n+1]=\infty \\
& \text { int } \operatorname{LIS}[0 . . n-1,0 . . n] \\
& \text { for } j=0 \ldots n) \text { if } \mathrm{A}[\mathrm{i}] \leq \mathrm{A}[j] \text { then } \operatorname{LIS}[0][j]=1 \\
& \text { for } i=1 \ldots n-1 \text { do } \\
& \quad \text { for } j=i \ldots n-1 \text { do } \\
& \quad \text { if }(A[i] \geq A[j]) \\
& \quad \operatorname{LIS}[i, j]=\operatorname{LIS}[i-1, j] \\
& \quad \text { else } \operatorname{LIS}[i, j]=\max (L I S[i-1, j], 1+\operatorname{LIS}[i-1, i]) \\
& \text { Return } \operatorname{LIS}[n, n+1]
\end{aligned}
$$

Running time: $O\left(n^{2}\right)$
Space: $O\left(n^{2}\right)$

## Iterative algorithm

The dynamic program for longest increasing subsequence
LIS-Iterative (A[1..n]):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A[n+1]=\infty \\
& \text { int } \operatorname{LIS}[0 . . n-1,0 \ldots n] \\
& \text { for } j=0 \ldots n \text { if } \mathrm{A}[\mathrm{i}] \leq \mathrm{A}[j] \text { then } \operatorname{LIS}[0][j]=1 \\
& \text { for } i=1 \ldots n-1 \text { do } \\
& \quad \text { for } j=i \ldots n-1 \text { do } \\
& \quad \text { if }(A[i] \geq A[j]) \\
& \quad \operatorname{LIS}[i, j]=\operatorname{LIS}[i-1, j] \\
& \quad \text { else } \operatorname{LIS}[i, j]=\max (L I S[i-1, j], 1+\operatorname{LIS}[i-1, i]) \\
& \text { Return } \operatorname{LIS}[n, n+1]
\end{aligned}
$$

Running time: $O\left(n^{2}\right)$
Space: $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ Can be done in linear space. How?

## Two comments

Question: Can we compute an optimum solution and not just its value?

## Two comments

Question: Can we compute an optimum solution and not just its value?
Yes! See notes.

## Finding the sub-sequence

|  |  | $\mathrm{A}[1]=6$ | $\mathrm{A}[2]=3$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A}[3]=5 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{A}[4]=2$ $4$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A}[5]=7 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A}[6]=8 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{A}[7]=1$ $7$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { inf } \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | Represents limiter j |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| [6] | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |
| [6,3] | 2 |  |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |
| [6,3,5] | 3 |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 |  |
| [6,3,5,2] | 4 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 |  |
| [6,3,5,2,7] | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 0 | 3 |  |
| [6,3,5,2,7,8] | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 4 |  |
| [6,3,5,2,7,8,1] | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |

## Recursive relation:

Sequence:

$$
A[1 \ldots 7]=[6,3,5,2,7,8,1] \quad \operatorname{LIS}(i, j)=
$$

We know the LIS length (4) $\quad 0$ but how do we find the LIS itself?

$$
L I S=[3,5,7,8]
$$

$$
\begin{cases}0 & i=0 \\
\operatorname{LIS}(i-1, j) & A[i] \geq A[j] \\
\max \left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\operatorname{LIS}(i-1, j) \\
1+\operatorname{LIS}(i-1, i)
\end{array}\right. & A[i]<A[j]\end{cases}
$$

## Finding the sub-sequence



Recursive relation:

Sequence:

$$
A[1 \ldots 7]=[6,3,5,2,7,8,1] \quad \operatorname{LIS}(i, j)=
$$

We know the LIS length (4) $\quad 0 \quad i=0$ but how do we find the LIS itself?

$$
L I S=[3,5,7,8]
$$

$$
\begin{cases}\operatorname{LIS}(i-1, j) & A[i] \geq A[j] \\
\max \left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\operatorname{LIS}(i-1, j) \\
1+\operatorname{LIS}(i-1, i)
\end{array}\right. & A[i]<A[j]\end{cases}
$$

## Two comments

Question: Can we compute an optimum solution and not just its value?
Yes!

Question: Is there a faster algorithm for LIS?

## Two comments

Question: Can we compute an optimum solution and not just its value?
Yes!

Question: Is there a faster algorithm for LIS? Yes! Using a different recursion and optimizing one can obtain an $O(n \log n)$ time and $O(n)$ space algorithm. $O(n \log n)$ time is not obvious. Depends on improving time by using data structures on top of dynamic programming.

$$
O\left(n 2^{n}\right) \xrightarrow{\checkmark} O\left(2^{n}\right) \xrightarrow{\checkmark} O\left(n^{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\substack{\text { heyond our chan } \\ P}(n \log n)}
$$

# How to come up with dynamic programming algorithm: summary 

(RIY)

## Dynamic Programming

- Find a "smart" recursion for the problem in which the number of distinct sub-problems is small; polynomial in the original problem size.
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- Estimate the number of sub-problems, the time to evaluate each sub-problem and the space needed to store the value.
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- Eliminate recursion and find an iterative algorithm.
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- Eliminate recursion and find an iterative algorithm.
- We need to find the right order of evaluating the sub-problems. This leads to an a dynamic programming algorithm.


## Dynamic Programming

- Find a "smart" recursion for the problem in which the number of distinct sub-problems is small; polynomial in the original problem size.
- Estimate the number of sub-problems, the time to evaluate each sub-problem and the space needed to store the value.
- This gives an upper bound on the total running time if we use memoization.
- Come up with an explicit memoization algorithm for the problem.
- Eliminate recursion and find an iterative algorithm.
- We need to find the right order of evaluating the sub-problems. This leads to an a dynamic programming algorithm.
- Optimize the resulting algorithm further.


## Dynamic Programming

- Find a "smart" recursion for the problem in which the number of distinct sub-problems is small; polynomial in the original problem size.
- Estimate the number of sub-problems, the time to evaluate each sub-problem and the space needed to store the value.
- This gives an upper bound on the total running time if we use memoization.
- Come up with an explicit memoization algorithm for the problem.
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- Get rich!

