## Pre-lecture brain teaser

Last time we looked at the BasicSearch algorithm:
Explore ( $G, u$ ) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Visited }[1 \ldots n] \leftarrow \text { FALSE } \\
& \text { Add } u \text { to } S \\
& \text { Visited }[u] \leftarrow \text { TRUE } \\
& \text { ExploreStep }(G, u, \text { Visited, } S) \\
& \text { Output } S
\end{aligned}
$$

ExploreStep ( $G, x$, Visited, S):
for each edge $x y$ in $\operatorname{Adj}(x)$ do if $($ Visited $[y]=$ FALSE)

Visited $[y] \leftarrow$ TRUE ExploreStep ( $G, y$, Visited, S):
return
What if the algorithm was written recursively (instead of the while loop, you recursively call explore). What would the algorithm be equivalent to?
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## Pre-lecture brain teaser

Last time we looked at the BasicSearch algorithm:
Explore ( $G, u$ ) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Visited }[1 \ldots n] \leftarrow \text { FALSE } \\
& \text { Add } u \text { to } S \\
& \text { Visited }[u] \leftarrow \text { TRUE } \\
& \text { ExploreStep }(G, u, \text { Visited, } S) \\
& \text { Output } S
\end{aligned}
$$

ExploreStep ( $G, x$, Visited, S):
for each edge $x y$ in $\operatorname{Adj}(x)$ do if $($ Visited $[y]=$ FALSE)

Visited $[y] \leftarrow$ TRUE ExploreStep ( $G, y$, Visited, S):
return
What if the algorithm was written recursively (instead of the while loop, you recursively call explore). What would the algorithm be equivalent to?

# Directed Acyclic Graphs - definition and basic properties 

## Directed Acyclic Graphs

## Definition <br> A directed graph $G$ is a directed acyclic graph (DAG) if there is no directed cycle in G.



## Is this a DAG?



## Is this a DAG?



## Sources and Sinks

## Definition

- A vertex $u$ is a source if it has no in-coming edges.
- A vertex $u$ is a sink if it has no out-going edges.


## Simple DAG Properties

## Proposition

Every DAG G has at least one source and at least one sink.

## Simple DAG Properties

## Proposition

Every DAG G has at least one source and at least one sink.

## Proof.

Let $P=v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}$ be a longest path in $G$. Claim that $v_{1}$ is a source and $v_{k}$ is a sink. Suppose not. Then $v_{1}$ has an incoming edge which either creates a cycle or a longer path both of which are contradictions. Similarly if $v_{k}$ has an outgoing edge.

## Topological ordering

## Total recall: Order on a set

Order or strict total order on a set $X$ is a binary relation $\prec$ on $X$, such that

- Transitivity: $\forall x \cdot y, z \in X \quad x \prec y$ and $y \prec z \Longrightarrow x \prec z$.
- For any $x, y \in X$, exactly one of the following holds:

$$
x \prec y, y \prec x \text { or } x=y .
$$

## Convention about writing edges

- Undirected graph edges:

$$
u v=\{u, v\}=v u \in \mathrm{E}
$$

- Directed graph edges:

$$
u \rightarrow v \quad \equiv \quad(u, v) \equiv(u \rightarrow v)
$$

## Topological Ordering/Sorting



Topological Ordering of G

## Graph G

Definition
A topological ordering/topological sorting of $G=(V, E)$ is an ordering $\prec$ on $V$ such that if $(u \rightarrow v) \in E$ then $u \prec v$.

Informal equivalent definition: One can order the vertices of the graph along a line (say the $x$-axis) such that all edges are from left to right.

## Topological ordering in linear time

Exercise: show algorithm can be implemented in $O(m+n)$ time.

## Topological ordering in linear time

Exercise: show algorithm can be implemented in $O(m+n)$ time.
Simple Algorithm:

1. Calculate the in-degree of each vertex
2. For each vertex that is source $\left(\operatorname{deg}_{i n}(v)=0\right)$ :
2.1 Add $v$ to the topological sort
2.2 Lower the in-degree of vertices $v$ is connected to. ${ }^{1}$

## Topological Sort: Example



C
Adjacency List:


## Topological Sort: Example



Topological Ordering:


## Multiple possible topological orderings



## DAGs and Topological Sort

- Note: A DAG G may have many different topological sorts.
- Exercise: What is a DAG with the most number of distinct topological sorts for a given number $n$ of vertices?
- Exercise: What is a DAG with the least number of distinct topological sorts for a given number $n$ of vertices?


## Direct Topological ordering - code

```
TopSort(G):
    Sorted }\leftarrowNUL
    deg}\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ in [1 ..n]}}{[\mp@code{-1}}{
    Tdeg}\mp@subsup{\mp@code{in}}{[1 . .n]}{\leftarrowNULL
    Generate in-degree for each vertex
    for each edge xy in G do
        deg
    for each vertex v in G do
    Tdeg}\mp@subsup{\mp@code{in}}{[deg}{\mathrm{ in }}[v]].append( (v
    Next we recursively add vertices
        with in-degree = 0 to the sort list
    while (Tdeg in [0] is non-empty) do
        Remove node x from Tdeg in [0]
        Sorted.append(x)
        for each edge xy in }\operatorname{Adj}(x)\mathrm{ do
        deg}\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ in }}{[y]}{-
            move y to Tdeg in [deg in [y]]
    Output Sorted
```


## DAGs and Topological Sort

## Lemma

A directed graph $G$ can be topologically ordered $\Longrightarrow G$ is a DAG.
Proof.
Proof by contradiction. Suppose G is not a DAG and has a topological ordering $\prec$. G has a cycle

$$
C=u_{1} \rightarrow u_{2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow u_{k} \rightarrow u_{1} .
$$

Then $u_{1} \prec u_{2} \prec \ldots \prec u_{k} \prec u_{1}$

## DAGs and Topological Sort

## Lemma

A directed graph $G$ can be topologically ordered $\Longrightarrow G$ is a DAG.
Proof.
Proof by contradiction. Suppose G is not a DAG and has a topological ordering $\prec$. G has a cycle

$$
C=u_{1} \rightarrow u_{2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow u_{k} \rightarrow u_{1} .
$$

Then $u_{1} \prec u_{2} \prec \ldots \prec u_{k} \prec u_{1}$

$$
\Longrightarrow u_{1} \prec u_{1} .
$$

A contradiction (to $\prec$ being an order). Not possible to topologically order the vertices.

## An explicit definition of what topological ordering of a graph

 isFor a graph $\mathrm{G}=(V, E)$ a topological ordering of a graph is a numbering $\pi: V \rightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$, such that

$$
\forall(u \rightarrow v) \in \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{G}) \Longrightarrow \pi(u)<\pi(v) .
$$

(That is, $\pi$ is one-to-one, and $n=|V|$ )

## Example...



## Example...



Assuming:

$$
\begin{gathered}
V=\{a, \ldots w\} \\
\pi=\{1, \ldots 23\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Depth First Search (DFS)

# Depth First Search (DFS) in Undirected Graphs 

## Depth First Search

- DFS special case of Basic Search.
- DFS is useful in understanding graph structure.
- DFS used to obtain linear time $(O(m+n))$ algorithms for
- Finding cut-edges and cut-vertices of undirected graphs
- Finding strong connected components of directed graphs
- ...many other applications as well.

Recursive version. Easier to understand some properties.

```
DFS(G)
    for all }u\inV(G)\mathrm{ do
        Mark u as unvisited
        Set pred(u) to null
    T is set to \emptyset
    while }\exists\mathrm{ unvisited }u\mathrm{ do
        DFS(u)
    Output T
```

DFS (u)
Mark $u$ as visited
for each $u v$ in $\operatorname{Out}(u)$ do
if $v$ is not visited then
add edge $u v$ to $T$
set pred(v) to $u$
DFS(v)

Implemented using a global array Visited for all recursive calls.
$T$ is the search tree/forest.

## Example



Edges classified into two types: $u v \in E$ is a

- tree edge: belongs to $T$
- non-tree edge: does not belong to $T$


## Example



Edges classified into two types: $u v \in E$ is a

- tree edge: belongs to $T$
- non-tree edge: does not belong to $T$


## DFS with pre-post numbering

## with Visit Times

Keep track of when nodes are visited.

```
DFS(G)
    for all }u\inV(G)\mathrm{ do
        Mark u as unvisited
    T is set to \emptyset
    time = 0
    while }\exists\mathrm{ unvisited u do
        DFS(u)
    Output T
```

```
DFS (u)
Mark \(u\) as visited
pre \((u)=++\) time
for each \(u v\) in \(\operatorname{Out}(u)\) do
    if \(v\) is not marked then
        add edge \(u v\) to \(T\)
        DFS(v)
    \(\operatorname{post}(u)=++\) time
```


## Animation



## Animation



## Animation



## Animation

\section*{time $=2$ <br> | vertex | $[$ pre, post $]$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $[1]$, |
| 2 | $[2]$, |}



## Animation



## Animation



## Animation

\section*{time $=4$ <br> | vertex | $[$ pre, post $]$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $[1]$, |
| 2 | $[2]$, |
| 4 | $[3]$, |
| 5 | $[4]$, | <br> 4 <br> }

## Animation

\section*{time $=5$ <br> | vertex | $[$ pre, post $]$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $[1]$, |
| 2 | $[2]$, |
| 4 | $[3]$, |
| 5 | $[4]$, |
| 6 | $[5]$, | <br> }

## Animation



## Animation

time $=7$

| vertex | [pre, post] | (1) (7) (9) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | [1,] | 13 |
| 2 | [2,] | (2) 3 |
| 4 | [3,] | (1) |
| 5 | [4,] | (4) - 5 (8) (10) |
| ${ }^{6}$ | $\underset{\substack{[5,6] \\[7,]}}{ }$ | (6) |

## Animation

## time $=8$

| vertex | $[$ pre, post $]$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $[1]$, |
| 2 | $[2]$, |
| 4 | $[3]$, |
| 5 | $[4]$, |
| 6 | $[5,6]$ |
| 3 | $[7]$, |
| 7 | $[8]$, |



## Animation

## time $=9$

| vertex | $[$ pre, post $]$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $[1]$, |
| 2 | $[2]$, |
| 4 | $[3]$, |
| 5 | $[4]$, |
| 6 | $[5,6]$ |
| 3 | $[7]$, |
| 7 | $[8]$, |
| 8 | $[9]$, |



## Animation

## time $=10$

| vertex | $[$ pre, post $]$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $[1]$, |
| 2 | $[2]$, |
| 4 | $[3]$, |
| 5 | $[4]$, |
| 6 | $[5,6]$ |
| 3 | $[7]$, |
| 7 | $[8]$, |
| 8 | $[9,10]$ |



## Animation

time $=11$

| vertex | $[$ pre, post $]$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $[1]$, |
| 2 | $[2]$, |
| 4 | $[3]$, |
| 5 | $[4]$, |
| 6 | $[5,6]$ |
| 3 | $[7]$, |
| 7 | $[8,11]$ |
| 8 | $[9,10]$ |



## Animation

time $=12$

| vertex | [pre, post] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | [1,] | (1) 7 (9) |
| 2 | [2, ] | 1) |
| 4 | [3,] | (2) 3 |
| 5 | ${ }^{[4,]}$ | (4) 510 |
| 3 | [7,12] | ( |
| 7 | [8,11] | (6) |
| 8 | [9,10] |  |

## Animation

time $=13$

| vertex | [pre, post] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | [1,] | (1) 7 (9) |
| 2 | [2,] | ) |
| 4 | [3,] | (2) 3 |
| 5 6 | $[4,13]$ $[5,6]$ | (4) 8 8 10 |
| 3 | [ 7 [, 12] | 1 (1) |
| 7 | [8,11] | (6) |
| 8 | [9, 10] |  |

## Animation

time $=14$

| vertex | $[$ pre, post $]$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $[1]$, |
| 2 | $[2]$, |
| 4 | $[3,14]$ |
| 5 | $[4,13]$ |
| 6 | $[5,6]$ |
| 3 | $[7,12]$ |
| 7 | $[8,11]$ |
| 8 | $[9,10]$ |



## Animation

time $=15$

| vertex | $[$ pre, post $]$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $[1]$, |
| 2 | $[2,15]$ |
| 4 | $[3,14]$ |
| 5 | $[4,13]$ |
| 6 | $[5,6]$ |
| 3 | $[7,12]$ |
| 7 | $[8,11]$ |
| 8 | $[9,10]$ |



## Animation

## time $=16$

| vertex | $[$ pre, post $]$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $[1,16]$ |
| 2 | $[2,15]$ |
| 4 | $[3,14]$ |
| 5 | $[4,13]$ |
| 6 | $[5,6]$ |
| 3 | $[7,12]$ |
| 7 | $[8,11]$ |
| 8 | $[9,10]$ |



## Animation

## time $=17$

| vertex | [pre, post] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | [1,16] | (1) $\square^{(9)}$ |
| 2 | [2,15] | 1 |
| 4 | [3, 14] | (2) 3 |
| $5$ | $[4,13]$ $[5,6]$ | ] |
| 3 | [7, 12] | (4) ${ }^{1}$ (10) |
| 7 | [8, 11] | 6 |
| 8 | [9,10] |  |
| 9 | [17,] |  |

## Animation

time $=18$

| vertex | $[$ pre, post $]$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $[1,16]$ |
| 2 | $[2,15]$ |
| 4 | $[3,14]$ |
| 5 | $[4,13]$ |
| 6 | $[5,6]$ |
| 3 | $[7,12]$ |
| 7 | $[8,11]$ |
| 8 | $[9,10]$ |
| 9 | $[17]$, |
| 10 | $[18]$, |



## Animation

## time $=19$

| vertex | $[p r e, p o s t]$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $[1,16]$ |
| 2 | $[2,15]$ |
| 4 | $[3,14]$ |
| 5 | $[4,13]$ |
| 6 | $[5,6]$ |
| 3 | $[7,12]$ |
| 7 | $[8,11]$ |
| 8 | $[9,10]$ |
| 9 | $[17]$, |
| 10 | $[18,19]$ |



## Animation

time $=20$

| vertex | $[$ pre, post $]$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $[1,16]$ |
| 2 | $[2,15]$ |
| 4 | $[3,14]$ |
| 5 | $[4,13]$ |
| 6 | $[5,6]$ |
| 3 | $[7,12]$ |
| 7 | $[8,11]$ |
| 8 | $[9,10]$ |
| 9 | $[17,20]$ |
| 10 | $[18,19]$ |



## Animation



## pre and post numbers

Node $u$ is active in time interval $[\operatorname{pre}(u), \operatorname{post}(u)]$
Proposition
For any two nodes $u$ and $v$, the two intervals [pre(u), post(u)] and $[\operatorname{pre}(v), \operatorname{post}(v)]$ are disjoint or one is contained in the other. pre and post numbers useful in several applications of DFS

## DFS in Directed Graphs

## DFS(G)

Mark all nodes $u$ as unvisited
$T$ is set to $\emptyset$
time $=0$
while there is an unvisited node $u$ do DFS ( $u$ )
Output T

```
DFS(u)
    Mark u as visited
    pre(u) = ++time
    for each edge (u,v) in Out(u) do
        if v}\mathrm{ is not visited
            add edge (u,v) to T
            DFS(v)
    post(u) = ++time
```


## Example of DFS in directed graph



## Example of DFS in directed graph



## Properties

Generalizing ideas from undirected graphs:

- DFS $(G)$ takes $O(m+n)$ time.


## Properties

Generalizing ideas from undirected graphs:

- DFS $(G)$ takes $O(m+n)$ time.
- Edges added form a branching: a forest of out-trees. Output of $\operatorname{DFS}(G)$ depends on the order in which vertices are considered.


## Properties

Generalizing ideas from undirected graphs:

- DFS $(G)$ takes $O(m+n)$ time.
- Edges added form a branching: a forest of out-trees. Output of $\operatorname{DFS}(G)$ depends on the order in which vertices are considered.
- If $u$ is the first vertex considered by $\operatorname{DFS}(G)$ then $\operatorname{DFS}(u)$ outputs a directed out-tree $T$ rooted at $u$ and a vertex $v$ is in $T$ if and only if $v \in \operatorname{rch}(u)$


## Properties

Generalizing ideas from undirected graphs:

- DFS $(G)$ takes $O(m+n)$ time.
- Edges added form a branching: a forest of out-trees. Output of $\operatorname{DFS}(G)$ depends on the order in which vertices are considered.
- If $u$ is the first vertex considered by $\operatorname{DFS}(G)$ then $\operatorname{DFS}(u)$ outputs a directed out-tree $T$ rooted at $u$ and a vertex $v$ is in $T$ if and only if $v \in \operatorname{rch}(u)$
- For any two vertices $x, y$ the intervals $[\operatorname{pre}(x), \operatorname{post}(x)]$ and $[\operatorname{pre}(y), \operatorname{post}(y)]$ are either disjoint or one is contained in the other.


## Properties

Generalizing ideas from undirected graphs:

- DFS $(G)$ takes $O(m+n)$ time.
- Edges added form a branching: a forest of out-trees. Output of $\operatorname{DFS}(G)$ depends on the order in which vertices are considered.
- If $u$ is the first vertex considered by $\operatorname{DFS}(G)$ then $\operatorname{DFS}(u)$ outputs a directed out-tree $T$ rooted at $u$ and a vertex $v$ is in $T$ if and only if $v \in \operatorname{rch}(u)$
- For any two vertices $x, y$ the intervals $[\operatorname{pre}(x), \operatorname{post}(x)]$ and $[\operatorname{pre}(y), \operatorname{post}(y)]$ are either disjoint or one is contained in the other.

Edges of $G$ can be classified with respect to the DFS tree $T$ as:

- Tree edges that belong to $T$
- A forward edge is a non-tree edges $(x, y)$ such that $y$ is a descendant of $x$.
- A backward edge is a non-tree edge $(x, y)$ such that $y$ is an ancestor of $x$.

- A cross edge is a non-tree edges $(x, y)$ such that they don't have a ancestor/descendant relationship between them.


## tree and related edges

Edges of $G$ can be classified with respect to the DFS tree $T$ as:

- Tree edges that belong to $T$
- A forward edge is a non-tree edges $(x, y)$ such that $\operatorname{pre}(x)<\operatorname{pre}(y)<$ $\operatorname{post}(y)<\operatorname{post}(x)$.
- A backward edge is a non-tree edge $(x, y)$ such that $\operatorname{pre}(y)<\operatorname{pre}(x)<$ $\operatorname{post}(x)<\operatorname{post}(y)$.
- A cross edge is a non-tree edges $(x, y)$ such that the intervals $[\operatorname{pre}(x), \operatorname{post}(x)]$ and $[\operatorname{pre}(y), \operatorname{post}(y)]$ are disjoint.


## Types of Edges



## Types of Edges



- Back edges: (F,B), (D,A)
- Forward edges: $(B, H)$
- Cross edges: $(F, G),(H, G),(D, H)$

DFS and cycle detection:
Topological sorting using DFS

## Cycles in graphs

Given an undirected graph how do we check whether it has a cycle and output one if it has one?

## Cycles in graphs

Given an undirected graph how do we check whether it has a cycle and output one if it has one?

Question: Given an directed graph how do we check whether it has a cycle and output one if it has one?

## Cycle detection in directed graph using topological sorting

## Question <br> Given G, is it a DAG?

If it is, compute a topological sort.
If it fails, then output the cycle $C$.

## Topological sort a graph using

DFS based algorithm:

- Compute DFS(G)
- If there is a back edge $e=(v, u)$ then G is not a DAG. Output cycle $C$ formed by path from $u$ to $v$ in $T$ plus edge $(v, u)$.
- Otherwise output nodes in decreasing post-visit order. Note: no need to sort, $\operatorname{DFS}(G)$ can output nodes in this order.


## Topological sort a graph using

DFS based algorithm:

- Compute DFS(G)
- If there is a back edge $e=(v, u)$ then G is not a DAG. Output cycle $C$ formed by path from $u$ to $v$ in $T$ plus edge $(v, u)$.
- Otherwise output nodes in decreasing post-visit order. Note: no need to sort, $\operatorname{DFS}(G)$ can output nodes in this order.

Computes topological ordering of the vertices.

Algorithm runs in $O(n+m)$ time.

## Topological sort a graph using

DFS based algorithm:

- Compute DFS(G)
- If there is a back edge $e=(v, u)$ then $G$ is not a DAG. Output cycle $C$ formed by path from $u$ to $v$ in $T$ plus edge $(v, u)$.
- Otherwise output nodes in decreasing post-visit order. Note: no need to sort, $\operatorname{DFS}(G)$ can output nodes in this order.

Computes topological ordering of the vertices.

Algorithm runs in $O(n+m)$ time. Correctness is not so obvious.
See next two propositions.

## Example

[13, 14]


## Example

[13, 14]


Listing out the vertices in post-number decreasing gives:
$\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{h}$

Remind you of anything?

## Example

[13, 14]

[15, 16] Listing out the vertices in post-number decreasing gives:
$\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{h}$

Remind you of anything?

## Back edge and Cycles

## Proposition

G has a cycle $\Longleftrightarrow$ there is a back-edge in DFS(G).

## Proof.

If: $(u, v)$ is a back edge implies there is a cycle $C$ consisting of the path from $v$ to $u$ in DFS search tree and the edge $(u, v)$.

Only if: Suppose there is a cycle $C=v_{1} \rightarrow v_{2} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow v_{k} \rightarrow v_{1}$. Let $v_{i}$ be first node in $C$ visited in DFS.

All other nodes in $C$ are descendants of $v_{i}$ since they are reachable from $v_{i}$.

Therefore, $\left(v_{i-1}, v_{i}\right)$ (or $\left(v_{k}, v_{1}\right)$ if $\left.i=1\right)$ is a back edge.

## Decreasing post numbering is valid

## Proposition

If $G$ is a DAG and $\operatorname{post}(v)>\operatorname{post}(u)$, then $(u \rightarrow v)$ is not in $G$.
Proof.
Assume post $(u)<\operatorname{post}(v)$ and $(u \rightarrow v)$ is an edge in $G$.

## Decreasing post numbering is valid

## Proposition

If $G$ is a DAG and $\operatorname{post}(v)>\operatorname{post}(u)$, then $(u \rightarrow v)$ is not in $G$.

## Proof.

Assume post $(u)<\operatorname{post}(v)$ and $(u \rightarrow v)$ is an edge in $G$. One of two holds:

- Case 1: $[\operatorname{pre}(u), \operatorname{post}(u)]$ is contained in $[\operatorname{pre}(v), \operatorname{post}(v)]$.
- Case 2: $[\operatorname{pre}(u), \operatorname{post}(u)]$ is disjoint from $[\operatorname{pre}(v), \operatorname{post}(v)]$.


## Decreasing post numbering is valid

## Proposition

If $G$ is a DAG and $\operatorname{post}(v)>\operatorname{post}(u)$, then $(u \rightarrow v)$ is not in $G$.

## Proof.

Assume post $(u)<\operatorname{post}(v)$ and $(u \rightarrow v)$ is an edge in $G$. One of two holds:

- Case 1: $[\operatorname{pre}(u), \operatorname{post}(u)]$ is contained in $[\operatorname{pre}(v), \operatorname{post}(v)]$. Implies that $u$ is explored during $\operatorname{DFS}(v)$ and hence is a descendent of $v$. Edge $(u, v)$ implies a cycle in $G$ but $G$ is assumed to be DAG!
- Case 2: $[\operatorname{pre}(u), \operatorname{post}(u)]$ is disjoint from $[\operatorname{pre}(v), \operatorname{post}(v)]$. This cannot happen since $v$ would be explored from $u$.


## Translation

We just proved:
Proposition
If $G$ is a DAG and $\operatorname{post}(v)>\operatorname{post}(u)$, then $(u \rightarrow v)$ is not in $G$.
$\Longrightarrow$ sort the vertices of a DAG by decreasing post nubmering in decreasing order, then this numbering is valid.

## Topological sorting

## Theorem

$G=(V, E):$ Graph with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges.
Comptue a topological sorting of $G$ using DFS in $O(n+m)$ time.
That is, compute a numbering $\pi: V \rightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$, such that

$$
(u \rightarrow v) \in E(G) \Longrightarrow \pi(u)<\pi(v)
$$

The meta graph of strong connected components

## Strong Connected Components (SCCs)

## Algorithmic Problem

Find all SCCs of a given directed graph.
Previous lecture:
Saw an $O(n \cdot(n+m))$ time algorithm.
This lecture: sketch of a $O(n+m)$ time algorithm.


## Graph of SCCs



Graph of SCCs G ${ }^{\text {SCC }}$

## G:

## Meta-graph of SCCs

Let $S_{1}, S_{2}, \ldots S_{k}$ be the strong connected components (i.e., SCCs) of $G$. The graph of SCCs is $G^{S C C}$

- Vertices are $S_{1}, S_{2}, \ldots S_{k}$
- There is an edge $\left(S_{i}, S_{j}\right)$ if there is some $u \in S_{i}$ and $v \in S_{j}$ such that $(u, v)$ is an edge in $G$.


## The meta graph of SCCs is a DAG...

## Proposition

For any graph $G$, the graph $G^{S C C}$ has no directed cycle.

## Proof.

If $G^{S C C}$ has a cycle $S_{1}, S_{2}, \ldots, S_{k}$ then $S_{1} \cup S_{2} \cup \cdots \cup S_{k}$ should be in the same SCC in G.

## To Remember: Structure of Graphs

Undirected graph: connected components of $G=(V, E)$ partition $V$ and can be computed in $O(m+n)$ time.

Directed graph: the meta-graph $G^{S C C}$ of $G$ can be computed in $O(m+n)$ time. $G^{S C C}$ gives information on the partition of $V$ into strong connected components and how they form a DAG structure.

Above structural decomposition will be useful in several algorithms

## Linear time algorithm for finding all SCCs

## Finding all SCCs of a Directed Graph

## Problem <br> Given a directed graph $G=(V, E)$, output all its strong connected components.

## Finding all SCCs of a Directed Graph

## Problem

Given a directed graph $G=(V, E)$, output all its strong connected components.

Straightforward algorithm:

```
Mark all vertices in V as not visited.
for each vertex }u\inV\mathrm{ not visited yet do
    find SCC(G,u) the strong component of u:
    Compute rch(G,u) using DFS(G,u)
    Compute rch(Grev},u)\mathrm{ using DFS(Grev},u
    SCC (G,u)\Leftarrow\operatorname{rch}(G,u)\cap\operatorname{rch}(\mp@subsup{G}{}{rev}},u
    \forallu\inSCC(G,u): Mark u as visited.
```

Running time: $O(n(n+m))$

## Finding all SCCs of a Directed Graph

## Problem

Given a directed graph $G=(V, E)$, output all its strong connected components.

Straightforward algorithm:

```
Mark all vertices in V as not visited.
for each vertex }u\inV\mathrm{ not visited yet do
    find SCC(G,u) the strong component of u:
    Compute rch(G,u) using DFS(G,u)
    Compute rch(Grev},u)\mathrm{ using DFS(Grev},u
    SCC (G,u)\Leftarrow\operatorname{rch}(G,u)\cap\operatorname{rch}(\mp@subsup{G}{}{rev}},u
    \forallu\inSCC(G,u): Mark u as visited.
```

Running time: $O(n(n+m))$ Is there an $O(n+m)$ time algorithm?

## Structure of a Directed Graph



Graph of SCCs G ${ }^{\text {SCC }}$
Graph G
ReminderG ${ }^{\text {SCC }}$ is created by collapsing every strong connected component to a single vertex.

## Proposition

For a directed graph $G$, its meta-graph $G^{S C C}$ is a DAG.

## Linear-time Algorithm for SCCs: Ideas

## Wishful Thinking Algorithm

- Let $u$ be a vertex in a sink SCC of $G^{\text {SCC }}$
- Do DFS(u) to compute $\operatorname{SCC}(u)$
- Remove $\operatorname{SCC}(u)$ and repeat
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## Linear-time Algorithm for SCCs: Ideas

## Wishful Thinking Algorithm

- Let $u$ be a vertex in a sink SCC of $G^{S C C}$
- Do DFS(u) to compute $\operatorname{SCC}(u)$
- Remove $\operatorname{SCC}(u)$ and repeat


## Justification

- DFS $(u)$ only visits vertices (and edges) in SCC( $u$ )
- ... since there are no edges coming out a sink!
- DFS(u) takes time proportional to size of SCC(u)
- Therefore, total time $O(n+m)$ !
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## Big Challenge(s)

How do we find a vertex in a sink SCC of $\mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{SCC}}$ ?

Can we obtain an implicit topological sort of $G^{S C C}$ without computing $\mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{SCC}}$ ?

Answer: $\operatorname{DFS}(G)$ gives some information!

Maximum post numbering and the source of the meta-graph

## Post numbering and the meta graph

## Claim

Let $v$ be the vertex with maximum post numbering in $\operatorname{DFS}(G)$. Then $v$ is in a SCC $S$, such that $S$ is a source of $G^{S C C}$.

## Reverse post numbering and the meta graph

## Claim

Let $v$ be the vertex with maximum post numbering in DFS( $\left.G^{\text {rev }}\right)$. Then $v$ is in a SCC $S$, such that $S$ is a sink of $G^{S C C}$.

## Reverse post numbering and the meta graph

## Claim

 Let $v$ be the vertex with maximum post numbering in DFS $\left(G^{r e v}\right)$. Then $v$ is in a SCC $S$, such that $S$ is a sink of $G^{S C C}$.Holds even after we delete the vertices of $S$ (i.e., the vertex with the maximum post numbering, is in a sink of the meta graph).

## The linear-time SCC algorithm itself

## Linear Time Algorithm

do DFS $\left(G^{r e v}\right)$ and output vertices in decreasing post order. Mark all nodes as unvisited for each $u$ in the computed order do if $u$ is not visited then DFS(u)
Let $S_{u}$ be the nodes reached by $u$
Output $S_{u}$ as a strong connected component
Remove $S_{u}$ from G
Theorem
Algorithm runs in time $O(m+n)$ and correctly outputs all the SCCs of G.

## Linear Time Algorithm: An Example - Initial steps 1

Graph G:


Reverse graph $G^{r e v}$ :


DFS of reverse graph:


Pre/Post DFS numbering of reverse graph:


## Linear Time Algorithm: An Example

Original graph G with rev post numbers:


Do DFS from vertex G remove it.


SCC computed:
\{ G \}

## Linear Time Algorithm: An Example

Do DFS from vertex G remove it.


SCC computed:
\{G\}

Do DFS from vertex $H$, remove it.


SCC computed:
$\{G\},\{H\}$

## Linear Time Algorithm: An Example

Do DFS from vertex $H$, remove it.


Do DFS from vertex $B$
Remove visited vertices:
$\{F, B, E\}$.


SCC computed:
$\{G\},\{H\}$

SCC computed:
$\{G\},\{H\},\{F, B, E\}$

## Linear Time Algorithm: An Example

Do DFS from vertex $F$
Remove visited vertices:
$\{F, B, E\}$.


SCC computed:
$\{G\},\{H\},\{F, B, E\}$

Do DFS from vertex $A$
Remove visited vertices:
$\{A, C, D\}$.

SCC computed:
$\{G\},\{H\},\{F, B, E\},\{A, C, D\}$

## Linear Time Algorithm: An Example



SCC computed:
$\{G\},\{H\},\{F, B, E\},\{A, C, D\}$
Which is the correct answer!

## Obtaining the meta-graph...

## Exercise:

Given all the strong connected components of a directed graph $G=(V, E)$ show that the meta-graph $G^{S C C}$ can be obtained in $O(m+n)$ time.

## Solving Problems on Directed Graphs

A template for a class of problems on directed graphs:

- Is the problem solvable when $G$ is strongly connected?
- Is the problem solvable when $G$ is a DAG?
- If the above two are feasible then is the problem solvable in a general directed graph $G$ by considering the meta graph $G^{S C C}$ ?


## Summary

## Take away Points

- DAGs
- Topological orderings.
- DFS: pre/post numbering.
- Given a directed graph G, its SCCs and the associated acyclic meta-graph $G^{S C C}$ give a structural decomposition of $G$ that should be kept in mind.
- There is a DFS based linear time algorithm to compute all the SCCs and the meta-graph. Properties of DFS crucial for the algorithm.
- DAGs arise in many application and topological sort is a key property in algorithm design. Linear time algorithms to compute a topological sort (there can be many possible orderings so not unique).


## Scratch Figures



