We talked a lot about languages representing problems. Consider the problem of adding two numbers. What language class does it belong to?

# ECE-374-B: Lecture 9 - Recursion, Sorting and Recurrences

Instructor: Abhishek Kumar Umrawal

February 20, 2024

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

We talked a lot about languages representing problems. Consider the problem of adding two numbers. What language class does it belong to? Let's say we are adding two unary numbers.

$$3 + 4 = 7 \to 111 + 1111 = 1111111 \tag{1}$$

Seems like we can make a PDA that considers



What if we wanted add two binary numbers?

$$3 + 4 = 7 \to 11 + 100 = 111 \tag{2}$$

At least context-sensitive  ${\rm b/c}$  we can build a finite Turing machine which takes in the encoding

What if we wanted add two binary numbers?

$$3 + 4 = 7 \to 11 + 100 = 111 \tag{3}$$

Computes value on left hand side



What if we wanted add two binary numbers?

$$3 + 4 = 7 \to 11 + 100 = 111 \tag{4}$$

And compares it to the value on the right..

# New Course Section: Introductory algorithms

At the end of the lecture, you should be able to understand

- the idea of an algorithm and algorithmic problems,
- how to reduce a problem into another,
- the design and analysis of recursive algorithms, and
- some example recursive algorithms for sorting and searching.

# Brief intro to the Random Access Machine (RAM) model

# **Algorithms and Computing**

- Algorithm solves a specific problem.
- Steps/instructions of an algorithm are <u>simple/primitive</u> and can be executed mechanically.
- Algorithm has a <u>finite description</u>; same description for all instances of the problem
- Algorithm implicitly may have state/memory
- A computer is a device that
  - implements the primitive instructions
  - allows for an <u>automated</u> implementation of the entire algorithm by keeping track of state

## Models of Computation vs Computers

- Model of Computation: an idealized mathematical construct that describes the primitive instructions and other details
- Computer: an actual <u>physical device</u> that implements a very specific model of computation

**In this course:** design algorithms in a high-level model of computation.

**Question:** What model of computation will we use to design algorithms?

## Models of Computation vs Computers

- Model of Computation: an idealized mathematical construct that describes the primitive instructions and other details
- Computer: an actual <u>physical device</u> that implements a very specific model of computation

**In this course:** design algorithms in a high-level model of computation.

**Question:** What model of computation will we use to design algorithms?

The standard programming model that you are used to in programming languages such as Java/C++. We have already seen the Turing Machine model.

Informal description:

- Basic data type is an integer number
- Numbers in input fit in a word
- Arithmetic/comparison operations on words take constant time
- Arrays allow random access (constant time to access A[i])
- Pointer based data structures via storing addresses in a word

## Example

Sorting: input is an array of n numbers

- input size is *n* (ignore the bits in each number),
- comparing two numbers takes O(1) time,
- random access to array elements,
- addition of indices takes constant time,
- basic arithmetic operations take constant time,
- reading/writing one word from/to memory takes constant time.

We will usually do not allow (or be careful about allowing):

- bitwise operations (and, or, xor, shift, etc).
- floor function.
- limit word size (usually assume unbounded word size).

# What is an algorithmic problem?

An algorithmic problem is simply to compute a function  $f: \Sigma^* \to \Sigma^*$  over strings of a finite alphabet.

Algorithm A solves f if for all **input strings** w, A outputs f(w).

We will broadly see three types of problems.

- Decision Problem: Is the input a YES or NO input?
  Example: Given graph G, nodes s, t, is there a path from s to t in G?
  Example: Given a CFG grammar G and string w, is w ∈ L(G)?
- Search Problem: Find a <u>solution</u> if input is a YES input. Example: Given graph *G*, nodes *s*, *t*, find an *s*-*t* path.
- Optimization Problem: Find a <u>best</u> solution among all solutions for the input.

Example: Given graph G, nodes s, t, find a shortest s-t path.

Given a problem P and an algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  for P we want to know:

- Does *A* **correctly** solve problem *P*?
- What is the asymptotic worst-case running time of A?
- What is the asymptotic worst-case space used by A.

**Asymptotic running-time analysis:** A runs in O(f(n)) time if:

"for all *n* and for all inputs *I* of size *n*, A on input *I* terminates after O(f(n)) primitive steps."

# **Algorithmic Techniques**

- Reduction to known problem/algorithm
- Recursion, divide-and-conquer, dynamic programming
- Graph algorithms to use as basic reductions
- Greedy

Some advanced techniques not covered in this class:

- Combinatorial optimization
- Linear and Convex Programming, more generally continuous optimization method
- Advanced data structure
- Randomization
- Many specialized areas

# Reductions

• Algorithm for A uses algorithm for B as a black box.

• Algorithm for A uses algorithm for B as a black box.

#### Q: How do you hunt a blue elephant?

A: With a blue elephant gun.

• Algorithm for A uses algorithm for B as a black box.

#### Q: How do you hunt a blue elephant?

A: With a blue elephant gun.

#### Q: How do you hunt a red elephant?

A: Hold its trunk shut until it turns blue, and then shoot it with the blue elephant gun.

• Algorithm for A uses algorithm for B as a black box.

#### Q: How do you hunt a blue elephant?

A: With a blue elephant gun.

#### Q: How do you hunt a red elephant?

A: Hold its trunk shut until it turns blue, and then shoot it with the blue elephant gun.

#### Q: How do you shoot a white elephant?

A: Embarrass it till it becomes red. Now use your algorithm for hunting red elephants.

Naive algorithm:

DistinctElements(A[1..n]) for i = 1 to n - 1 do for j = i + 1 to n do if (A[i] = A[j])return YES return NO

Naive algorithm:

DistinctElements(A[1..n]) for i = 1 to n - 1 do for j = i + 1 to n do if (A[i] = A[j])return YES return NO

Running time:

Naive algorithm:

DistinctElements(A[1..n]) for i = 1 to n - 1 do for j = i + 1 to n do if (A[i] = A[j])return YES return NO

**Running time:**  $O(n^2)$ 

## **Reduction to Sorting**

DistinctElements(A[1..n]) Sort A for i = 1 to n - 1 do if (A[i] = A[i + 1]) then return YES return NO

## **Reduction to Sorting**

```
DistinctElements(A[1..n])
Sort A
for i = 1 to n - 1 do
if (A[i] = A[i + 1]) then
return YES
return NO
```

**Running time:** O(n) plus time to sort an array of *n* numbers

Important point: algorithm uses sorting as a black box

## **Reduction to Sorting**

```
DistinctElements(A[1..n])
Sort A
for i = 1 to n - 1 do
if (A[i] = A[i + 1]) then
return YES
return NO
```

**Running time:** O(n) plus time to sort an array of *n* numbers

**Important point:** algorithm uses sorting as a black box

Advantage of naive algorithm: works for objects that cannot be "sorted". Can also consider hashing but outside scope of current course.

Suppose problem A reduces to problem B

- Positive direction: Algorithm for B implies an algorithm for A
- Negative direction: Suppose there is no "efficient" algorithm for *A* then it implies no efficient algorithm for *B* (technical condition for reduction time necessary for this)

Suppose problem A reduces to problem B

- Positive direction: Algorithm for B implies an algorithm for A
- Negative direction: Suppose there is no "efficient" algorithm for *A* then it implies no efficient algorithm for *B* (technical condition for reduction time necessary for this)

**Example:** Distinct Elements reduces to Sorting in O(n) time

- An O(n log n) time algorithm for Sorting implies an O(n log n) time algorithm for Distinct Elements problem.
- If there is <u>no</u> o(n log n) time algorithm for Distinct Elements problem then there is no o(n log n) time algorithm for Sorting.

# **Recursion as self reductions**
Reduction: reduce one problem to another

Recursion: a special case of reduction

- reduce problem to a smaller instance of itself
- self-reduction

Reduction: reduce one problem to another

Recursion: a special case of reduction

- reduce problem to a smaller instance of itself
- self-reduction
- Problem instance of size *n* is reduced to <u>one or more</u> instances of size *n* − 1 or less.
- For termination, problem instances of small size are solved by some other method as base cases

## Recursion

- Recursion is a very powerful and fundamental technique
- Basis for several other methods
  - Divide and conquer
  - Dynamic programming
  - Enumeration and branch and bound etc
  - Some classes of greedy algorithms
- Makes proof of correctness easy (via induction)
- Recurrences arise in analysis



The Tower of Hanoi puzzle

Move stack of n disks from peg 1 to peg 2, one disk at a time. Rule: cannot put a larger disk on a smaller disk. Question: what is a strategy and how many moves does it take?

#### Tower of Hanoi via Recursion



The Tower of Hanoi algorithm; ignore everything but the bottom disk

#### **Recursive Algorithm**

```
Hanoi(n, src, dest, tmp):
    if (n > 0) then
        Hanoi(n - 1, src, tmp, dest)
        Move disk n from src to dest
        Hanoi(n - 1, tmp, dest, src)
```

#### **Recursive Algorithm**

```
Hanoi(n, src, dest, tmp):
    if (n > 0) then
        Hanoi(n - 1, src, tmp, dest)
        Move disk n from src to dest
        Hanoi(n - 1, tmp, dest, src)
```

T(n): time to move *n* disks via recursive strategy

#### **Recursive Algorithm**

```
Hanoi(n, src, dest, tmp):
    if (n > 0) then
        Hanoi(n - 1, src, tmp, dest)
        Move disk n from src to dest
        Hanoi(n - 1, tmp, dest, src)
```

T(n): time to move *n* disks via recursive strategy

$$T(n)=2T(n-1)+1$$
  $n>1$  and  $T(1)=1$ 

T(n) = 2T(n-1) + 1 $= 2^{2}T(n-2)+2+1$ = ...  $= 2^{i}T(n-i) + 2^{i-1} + 2^{i-2} + \ldots + 1$ = ...  $= 2^{n-1}T(1) + 2^{n-2} + \ldots + 1$  $= 2^{n-1} + 2^{n-2} + \ldots + 1$  $= (2^n - 1)/(2 - 1) = 2^n - 1$ 

Merge Sort

Input Given an array of *n* elementsGoal Rearrange them in ascending order

#### ALGORITHMS

#### ALGORITHMS

2. Divide into subarrays  $A[1 \dots m]$  and  $A[m + 1 \dots n]$ , where  $m = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ 

ALGOR ITHMS

#### ALGORITHMS

- 2. Divide into subarrays A[1...m] and A[m+1...n], where  $m = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  $A \perp G \cup R = \lfloor T \mid H \mid M \mid S$
- 3. Recursively MergeSort  $A[1 \dots m]$  and  $A[m+1 \dots n]$

AGLOR HIMST

#### ALGORITHMS

2. Divide into subarrays  $A[1 \dots m]$  and  $A[m + 1 \dots n]$ , where  $m = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ 

ALGOR ITHMS

3. Recursively MergeSort  $A[1 \dots m]$  and  $A[m+1 \dots n]$ 

4. Merge the sorted arrays

AGHILMORST

#### ALGORITHMS

2. Divide into subarrays  $A[1 \dots m]$  and  $A[m + 1 \dots n]$ , where  $m = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ 

ALGOR ITHMS

3. Recursively MergeSort  $A[1 \dots m]$  and  $A[m+1 \dots n]$ 

4. Merge the sorted arrays

AGHILMORST

- Use a new array C to store the merged array
- Scan A and B from left-to-right, storing elements in C in order

```
AGLOR HIMST
A
```

- Use a new array C to store the merged array
- Scan A and B from left-to-right, storing elements in C in order

AGLOR HIMST AG

- Use a new array C to store the merged array
- Scan A and B from left-to-right, storing elements in C in order

AGLOR HIMST AGH

- Use a new array C to store the merged array
- Scan A and B from left-to-right, storing elements in C in order

AGLOR HIMST AGHI

- Use a new array C to store the merged array
- Scan A and B from left-to-right, storing elements in C in order

AGLOR HIMST AGHILMORST

- Use a new array C to store the merged array
- Scan A and B from left-to-right, storing elements in C in order

AGLOR HIMST AGHILMORST

• Merge two arrays using only constantly more extra space (in-place merge sort): doable but complicated and typically impractical.

$$\begin{array}{l} \underline{\operatorname{Merge}(A[1 \dots n], m):} \\ i \leftarrow 1; \ j \leftarrow m+1 \\ \text{for } k \leftarrow 1 \text{ to } n \\ \text{ if } j > n \\ B[k] \leftarrow A[i]; \ i \leftarrow i+1 \\ \text{ else if } i > m \\ B[k] \leftarrow A[j]; \ j \leftarrow j+1 \\ \text{ else if } A[i] < A[j] \\ B[k] \leftarrow A[i]; \ i \leftarrow i+1 \\ \text{ else } \\ B[k] \leftarrow A[i]; \ j \leftarrow j+1 \\ \text{ for } k \leftarrow 1 \text{ to } n \\ A[k] \leftarrow B[k] \end{array}$$

# Running time analysis of merge-sort: Recursion tree method























#### Recursion tree: Total work?



T(n): time for merge sort to sort an *n* element array
T(n): time for merge sort to sort an *n* element array

$$T(n) = T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + cn$$

T(n): time for merge sort to sort an *n* element array

$$T(n) = T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + cn$$

What do we want as a solution to the recurrence?

Almost always only an <u>asymptotically</u> tight bound. That is we want to know f(n) such that  $T(n) = \Theta(f(n))$ .

- T(n) = O(f(n)) upper bound
- $T(n) = \Omega(f(n))$  lower bound

# Solving Recurrences: Some Techniques

- Know some basic math: geometric series, logarithms, exponentials, elementary calculus
- Expand the recurrence and spot a pattern and use simple math
- Recursion tree method imagine the computation as a tree
- Guess and verify useful for proving upper and lower bounds even if not tight bounds

# Solving Recurrences: Some Techniques

- Know some basic math: geometric series, logarithms, exponentials, elementary calculus
- Expand the recurrence and spot a pattern and use simple math
- Recursion tree method imagine the computation as a tree
- Guess and verify useful for proving upper and lower bounds even if not tight bounds

**Albert Einstein:** "Everything should be made as simple as possible, but not simpler."

Know where to be loose in analysis and where to be tight. Comes with practice, practice, practice!



• Unroll the recurrence.

T(n) = 2T(n/2) + cn



• Unroll the recurrence.

$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + cn$$

.

• Identify a pattern.



• Unroll the recurrence.

$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + cn$$

• Identify a pattern. At the *i*th level total work is *cn*.



• Unroll the recurrence.

$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + cn$$

- Identify a pattern. At the *i*th level total work is *cn*.
- Sum over all levels.



• Unroll the recurrence.

$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + cn$$

- Identify a pattern. At the *i*th level total work is *cn*.
- Sum over all levels. The number of levels is log n. So total is cn log n = O(n log n).











**Question:** Merge Sort splits into 2 (roughly) equal sized arrays. Can we do better by splitting into more than 2 arrays? Say k arrays of size n/k each?

# **Binary Search**

**Input** Sorted array *A* of *n* numbers and number *x* **Goal** Is *x* in *A*? **Input** Sorted array *A* of *n* numbers and number *x* **Goal** Is *x* in *A*?

BinarySearch (A[a..b], x): if (b-a < 0) return NO mid =  $A[\lfloor (a+b)/2 \rfloor]$ if (x = mid) return YES if (x < mid)return BinarySearch  $(A[a..\lfloor (a+b)/2 \rfloor - 1], x)$ else return BinarySearch  $(A[\lfloor (a+b)/2 \rfloor + 1..b], x)$  **Input** Sorted array *A* of *n* numbers and number *x* **Goal** Is *x* in *A*?

BinarySearch (A[a..b], x): if (b - a < 0) return NO  $mid = A[\lfloor (a + b)/2 \rfloor]$ if (x = mid) return YES if (x < mid)return BinarySearch  $(A[a..\lfloor (a + b)/2 \rfloor - 1], x)$ else return BinarySearch  $(A[\lfloor (a + b)/2 \rfloor + 1..b], x)$ 

Analysis:  $T(n) = T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + O(1)$ .  $T(n) = O(\log n)$ . **Observation:** After k steps, size of array left is  $n/2^k$